Contents lists available at ScienceDirect









The role of quorum sensing in Escherichia coli (ETEC) virulence factors



Régis Tuchtenhagen Sturbelle^a, Luciana Farias da Costa de Avila^b, Talita Bandeira Roos^c, Jéssica Lopes Borchardt^b, Rita de Cássia dos Santos da Conceição^d, Odir Antonio Dellagostin^a, Fábio Pereira Leivas Leite^{a,*}

^a Núcleo de Biotecnologia, Centro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Brazil

^b Departamento de Microbiologia e Parasitologia, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, RS, Brazil

^c Pós-graduação em Saúde Animal na Amazônia, Universidade Federal do Pará, PA, Brazil

^d Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, RS, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 April 2015 Received in revised form 17 August 2015 Accepted 18 August 2015

Keywords: Quorum sensing Virulence Auto-inducers Catecholamines

ABSTRACT

Quorum sensing (QS) is a signaling system among bacteria mediated by auto-inducer substances (AI). Whenever the concentration of these molecules reaches a threshold corresponding to a high cell density or quorum, the whole population starts a coordinated expression of specific genes. Studies have shown that epinephrine is also responsible for activating specific bacterial genes. This work aimed to investigate the role of conditioned medium (containing AI), epinephrine and their association on growth, motility, F4 fimbriae and heat-labile toxin (LT) expression on enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC, E68). A significant increase in motility, F4 and LT expression, was observed in the ETEC culture supplemented with conditioned medium and epinephrine. These findings suggest that ETEC uses some components of conditioned medium (e.g., AI molecules), host molecules (epinephrine), and their association to modulate the expression of important virulence genes.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) strains are a major cause of diarrheal disease in humans and animals (Zhu et al., 2011). ETEC is the most common cause of traveler's diarrhea and can be fatal for children (Qadri et al., 2005). The major virulence factors are bacterial fimbriae that mediate bacterial attachment to host enterocytes allowing its colonization and proliferation, and the production of enterotoxins, which stimulate fluid and electrolyte secretion by intestinal cells leading to diarrhea (Fairbrother et al., 2005).

ETEC in pigs is responsible for much of the neonatal, and a majority of the post weaning diarrheal infections (Berberov et al., 2004). Stress caused by handling, isolation of litters from the sow, or by cold, has been reported to increase fecal excretion of ETEC in comparison to control piglets (Dowd et al., 2007). Stress induces

the activation of the adrenal, leading to the release of stress-related catecholamine hormones that can impair immune response and activate growth and expression of virulence factors in bacteria (Jones et al., 2001). The catecholamines (epinephrine and norepinephrine) can be present in the gastrointestinal tract (Horger et al., 1998). Norepinephrine is produced within adrenergic neurons present in the enteric nervous system, and epinephrine is synthesized in both the central nervous system and the adrenal medulla and is involved in systemic responses (Purves et al., 2001). The levels of epinephrine in the intestine are in the micromolar range, similar to the level tested in the present study, however, during an ETEC infection, the altered electrolyte secretion may compromise the integrity of the enterocyte, leading to an increase in its concentration (Polotsky et al., 1994; Freestone et al., 2008).

E. coli strains regulate their virulence gene expression in response to a variety of environmental factors and can use quorum sensing (QS) to modulate gene expression. QS is a bacterial cell-to-cell communication mechanism, comprising the production and detection of autoinducers (AI). Report of a signaling molecule, AI-3, whose synthesis is not dependent on LuxS (Sperandio et al., 2003), suggests that this molecule is involved in Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) cross talk with the epinephrine-norepinephrine host signaling system (Freestone et al., 2002). EHEC senses the host

^{*} Corresponding author at: Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Rua Gomes Carneiro, No. 1, Centro, CEP 96010-610 Pelotas, RS, Caixa Postal 354, CEP 96001-970, Brazil. Fax: +55 53 3921 1268.

E-mail addresses: regis-ts@hotmail.com (R.T. Sturbelle),

lucostaavila@hotmail.com (L.F.d.C.d. Avila), talitaroos@gmail.com (T.B. Roos), lopesborchardt@gmail.com (J.L. Borchardt), ritinhaconceicao@hotmail.com (R. de Cássia dos Santos da Conceição), odir@ufpel.edu.br (O.A. Dellagostin), fabio_leite@ufpel.edu.br (F.P.L. Leite).

hormones epinephrine and norepinephrine through the membrane protein QseC (Walters et al., 2006). QseC senses both Al-3 and epinephrine and thus functions in interkingdom crosssignaling (Rasko et al., 2008; Moreira et al., 2010). QseC is part of a two-component system, QseB/C, in which QseC phosphorylate on binding of its ligand and transfer the phosphate moiety to QseB transcriptional regulators, by doing so modulating the expression of genes under their control (Sperandio et al., 2002). However, two-component sensory systems are known to integrate multiple signals and the extent to which such sensors influence virulence by sensing stress-related catecholamines is not totally understood.

Given that AI-3 production is not limited to EHEC strains, and that AI-3/epinephrine may be involved in interspecies/interkingdom signaling, one can suggest that this signaling pathway might also play a role in the pathogenesis of ETEC. The specific aim of this study was to determine the effect of conditioned medium (containing factors e.g., autoinducer molecules), and epinephrine in the growth, motility, fimbriae and heat-labile toxin gene expression in ETEC E68.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Bacteria and culture media

The *E. coli* E68 (O141; K88ab; H4) (Gil Turnes et al., 1999), and *E. coli* DH5 α (*fhuA2 lac(del)U169 phoA glnV44* Φ 80' *lacZ(del)M15 gyrA96 recA1 relA1 endA1 thi-1 hsdR17*, Invitrogen) strains used in this experiment were provided by bacteria collection of Cenbiot Bacteriology Laboratory – UFPel. The culture media used was BrainHeart Infusion broth (BHI, Difco), Luria Bertani (LB, Acumedia), and Minimum Essential Medium eagle (MEM, Sigma). Supplements of epinephrine (Hipolabor), and atenolol a β adrenergic receptor-blocker (Farmanostra) were also used (Sperandio et al., 2003).

2.2. Conditioned medium preparation

Conditioned medium refers to the filtered *E. coli* culture supernatant (containing autoinducers). For the preparation of conditioned medium, ETEC was grown in 500 ml flasks with Luria Bertani medium corresponding to 10% of their volume, incubated at 37 °C for 7 h in an orbital shaker (CERTOMAT[®] BS-T) at 150 rpm. Afterwards, the culture was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 20 min, the supernatant was filtered (0.22 μ m filter - Millipore), and the filtrate was used to condition the media (Sperandio et al., 2002). The same procedure was done for the *E. coli* DH5 α which does not produce AI-2 and AI-3, or produces at insignificant and biologically inactive quantities.

2.3. Cell growth dynamics

To evaluate the influence of the conditioned medium on cellular growth, ETEC was grown in LB to obtain a pre-inoculum and then adjusted to OD 1.0 (A_{600}); 2 ml of the inoculum (1×10^9 CFU/ml) for each treatment analyzed. The treatments corresponded to the addition of conditioned medium and epinephrine to cultures in LB broth. These treatments were: control (LB medium); conditioned medium 50%, 50 μ M epinephrine, 200 μ M β adrenergic blocker, atenolol (to evaluate the role of Al-3/cathecolamine signaling pathway), and 50% DH5 α conditioned medium. The different treatment cultures were incubated at 37 °C in an orbital shaker for 7 h at 130 rpm. Aliquot were taken hourly from each treatment and quantified by optical density with a spectrophotometer (Biospectro SP-22).

2.4. Motility

The motility of ETEC was evaluated by agar assay, and qPCR. The motility agar assay was performed according to Sperandio et al. (2002) with some modifications. These modifications were related to the culture medium, treatments and incubation period. Briefly, ETEC was grown in MEM, incubated at 37 °C for 7 h at 130 rpm, in an orbital shaker. From this culture (OD=0.8), 1 μ l (6.5×10^5 CFU/ml) was inoculated into a Petri dish containing MEM solidified with 0.3% agar. The treatments were: control (LB medium); conditioned medium 50%, 50 μ M epinephrine, conditioned medium 50% + 50 μ M epinephrine, atenolol (200 μ M), and 50% DH5 α conditioned medium. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 12 h, and the motility halos were measured with a caliper.

2.5. Fimbriae expression

The fimbriae expression by ETEC was evaluated by hemagglutination, ELISA, and qPCR for each of the treatments described above. For hemagglutination, an adapted version of the hemagglutination (HA) technique proposed by Hovelius and Mardh (1979) was used. Briefly, the reaction consisted of joining cultures (adjusted to an OD = 1.0) diluted in saline (500 μ l/well), and 500 μ l of a 0.5% suspension of chicken erythrocytes in saline to eightywell U-bottom plates. The plates were then incubated at 4 °C for 2 h for later reading. ELISA was performed with the ETEC cultures of the different treatments, which were formalin inactivated and then used as antigens. The plates (Nunc) were sensitized with E. *coli* cultures (50 μ l/well, 1 \times 10⁸ CFU/ml) in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6) for 1 h at 37 °C. Rabbit anti-F4 serum, kindly provided by Professor Dr. Carlos Gil Turnes (UFPel), (50 µl/well) diluted at 1:50 in PBS-T (phosphate buffer containing 0.5% Tween 20) was added to the plates, which were then incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Anti-rabbit immunoglobulin peroxidase conjugate (DAKO-PATTS A/S) diluted at 1:2000 in PBS-T was used as secondary antibody, and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Next, the plates were washed 5 times in PBS-T, and 50 µl ortho-23 phenylenediamine (OPD) substrate/chromagen was added and allowed to react for 15 min in the dark, at room temperature. Absorbencies were measured in a micro plate reader (MR 700 microplate reader) at 450 nm.

To measure gene expression, ETEC was grown in LB at 37 °C for 7 h at 130 rpm in an orbital shaker. Following this incubation period, the culture was centrifuged at $13,000 \times g$ for 10 min. First, we analyzed the treatments at 2 h of culture, then, to study the expression dynamics, samples were collected every 30 min, totaling four collections, and only from the conditioned medium 50%+50 µM epinephrine treatment. These time points were chosen because they corresponded to the biggest differences found in pilot experiments. After incubation, samples were pelleted and suspended in TRIzol (Invitrogen) and kept at -70°C. RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions, quantified in a spectrophotometer (Nanovue) and standardized at 1 ng for each cDNA synthesis reaction. cDNA synthesis was performed using a High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) following the

Table 1	
Oligonucleotides used for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction	(qPCR).

Gene	Forward 5′-3′	Reverse 5'-3'
faeG	CGCAGGTTCTTACAGGGAAA	GACCGTTTGCAATACCCAGT
faeC	CTGTTGATGCACAGGCTGAT	TACTCTCCCGCCTTCACATC
eltB	ACGGAGCTCCCCAGA CTAT	AATGTTTCGCCGCTCTTAAA
fliC	TCGACAAATTCCGCTCCTC	GGTTGGTGGTGGTGTTGTTC
rpoA	GCGCTCATCTTCTTCCGAAT	CGCGGTCGTGGTTATGTG

manufacturer's instructions, quantified in a spectrophotometer (Nanovue) and then stored at -20 °C until use. Gene expression was determined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). The primers used in this experiment were designed using the program PRIMER 3 v. 0.4.0 (Table 1) following the GenBank access number AY437806 for faeG; AF450247 for faeC; M17873.1 for eltB; AY906923.1 for fliC. Reactions were performed in duplicate using a Platinum SYBR Green gPCR SuperMix UDG Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to manufacturer's instructions. The real-time PCR reaction consisted of 200 ng of cDNA, 6.25 µl of Platinum SYBR Green, 0.25 µl of Rox Reference Dye, 0.5 µl of each primer and water up to a 12.5 µl volume. The samples underwent 45 cycles with the following conditions: 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 60 s. The analysis of the resulting data was performed using the real-time MxPro-Mx3005P software. The relative amount of mRNA for each gene was determined by the comparative threshold cycle ($\Delta\Delta C_T$) method, which was standardized using the rpoA RNA gene sequence (Anonymous, 1997).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed by a *t* test using the 2009 version of the Statistics software (SAS[®], 2009), for hemagglutination experiments, we used the Chi square test, *p*-values of <0.05 were considered significant. Each assay was performed at least in three separate experiments.

3. Results

3.1. Cell growth dynamics

The addition of conditioned medium to the cultures increased ETEC E68 growth significantly (p < 0.05) starting at the 3rd hour of culture and continuing at the 4th and 5th hour, however by the 6th

hour the difference was reduced and by the 7th hour no difference among the control and other treatments were observed (Fig. 1). Epinephrine alone at concentrations of $50 \,\mu$ M did not have any effect on ETEC growth dynamics, and when combined with the conditioned medium the growth curve was similar to the conditioned medium alone (Fig. 1). The addition of atenolol to the cultures with conditioned medium and with conditioned medium $50\% + 50 \,\mu$ M epinephrine inhibited its effect, bringing the dynamics to the control level (Fig. 1). The conditioned medium prepared from the cultures of DH5 α did not show any effect on the growth dynamic.

3.2. Motility

Using conditioned medium, an increase in the halo diameter was observed. Epinephrine at 50 μ M did not show any effect on motility, however when combined with conditioned medium it was able to increase the motility significantly (p < 0.05) up to \sim 7.5 fold (Fig. 2). The addition of atenolol to the treatments was able to inhibit the motility increase (halo diameter), and the conditioned medium from DH5 α did not show any effect on motility (Fig. 2).

3.3. Fimbriae expression

When examining the expression of F4 by ETEC E68 hemagglutination, we found that the treatment with the combination of conditioned medium and epinephrine was able to modulate its expression. The highest hemagglutination titer, 64 hemagglutination units, was observed in the conditioned medium $50\% + 50 \mu$ M epinephrine treatment; this was significantly increased as compared to other treatments (p < 0.05) (Fig. 3A). ELISA also revealed that the treatment of conditioned medium $50\% + 50 \mu$ M epinephrine significantly increased fimbriae



Fig. 1. ETEC growth dynamic. The data represents the mean optical density of growth curve of ETEC in Luria Bertani, LB (Control), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium + epinephrine 50 μ M (50% + 50 μ M), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium from *E. coli* DH5 α (Con DH5). The data represent the mean of three independent experiments (\pm standard deviation), and asterisks (*) means a significant (p < 0.05) difference between the control and treatment.



Fig. 2. ETEC motility. The data represent the mean halo diameter in MEM plus 0.3% agar after treating with: Luria Bertani, LB (Cont), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium from *E. coli* DH5 α (DH5), LB combined with 50% conditioned media (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi 50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%) and epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50%+ Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium combined with epinephrine 50 μ M with atenolol (200 μ M) (Atenolol). The data represent the mean of three independent experiments (\pm standard deviation), and asterisks (*) means a significant (p < 0.05) difference when comparing to the control group.



Fig. 3. F4 fimbriae expression. (A) Data represents hemagglutination titer from ETEC cultured in Luria Bertani (LB) media after treat with: Luria Bertani, LB (Cont), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium from *E. coli* DH α (DH5), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50%+ Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50%+ Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50%+ Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50%+ Epi50 μ M), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined medium from *E. coli* DH5 α (DH5), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined medium (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined medium (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50 μ conditioned medium (Con 50 μ M) (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50 μ conditioned medium (Con 50 μ M) (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50 μ conditioned medium (Con 50 μ M) (Con50 μ + Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50 μ conditioned medium (Con 50 μ M) (Epi50 μ M) (Con50 μ + Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50 μ conditioned medium (Con 50 μ) and epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50 μ + Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50 μ conditioned medium (Con 50 μ) and epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50 μ + Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50 μ conditioned medium (Con 50 μ) (Atenolol)). The data represent the mean of three ind

expression as compared with other treatments (p < 0.05) (Fig. 3B), corroborating with the hemagglutination findings. In addition, we observed in both assays, that atenolol was able to block the treatment effect, and the DH5 α conditioned medium had no effect (Fig. 3).

3.4. Gene expression

First, we observed that at the 2nd hour of culture, the only treatment capable to modulate a significant up regulation on *fliC*, *faeG*, *faeC*, and *eltB* of ETEC E68 was the conditioned medium $50\% + 50 \mu$ M epinephrine (Fig. 4A). Next, we found a time-dependent modulation on gene expression in the treatment group with conditioned medium and 50μ M epinephrine, where at 30 min *eltB*, *faeC* and *faeG* were up regulated compared with controls, and the highest gene expression occurred at 2 h of culture for all gene studied. The gene expression dynamics were as follows: for flagella, the *fliC* expression increased 0.4, 6.2 and 11 fold, at 30 min, 1 h and 2 h respectively. For F4 fimbriae the *faeG*

expression increased 1.4, 6.5 and 9.3, respectively, and for *fae*C we observed an expression increase of 1.8, 4.4 and 8.4 at 30 min, 1 h and 2 h, respectively. The heat-labile *elt*B gene demonstrated an increased expression of 3.0, 3.6 and 11 fold at 30 min, 1 h and 2 h, respectively (Fig. 4B). The addition of atenolol to the treatment was able to significantly (p < 0.05) reduce the expression of all genes tested, suggesting that the signaling might be through Al-3/catecholamine pathway (Fig. 4A).

4. Discussion

Studies have shown that the use of catecholamines in culture media promotes the multiplication, motility and expression of genes involved in bacterial virulence (Toscano et al., 2007; Bearson and Bearson, 2008; Bearson et al., 2010). The results presented in this study suggest that ETEC E68 may utilize epinephrine, as well as its own molecules (conditioned medium, e.g., AI-3) as an environmental cue to modulate virulence factors essential for its pathogenesis. We have found that the combination of conditioned



Fig. 4. qPCR expression for ETEC *flic fae*G, *fae*C and *elt*B genes. (A) The data represents fold increase gene expression (ΔDC_T) in ETEC cultured in Luria Bertani, LB (Control), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%), LB combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium from *E. coli* DH5 α (DH5), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium (Con 50%) and epinephrine 50 μ M (Con50%+ Epi50 μ M), LB combined with 50% conditioned medium combined with epinephrine 50 μ M (With atenolol (200 μ M)) (Atenolol). (B) Gene expression dynamics of *flic, fae*G, *fae*C and *elt*B following Con50%+ Epi50 μ M treatment. The data represent the mean of three independent experiments (±standard deviation), and asterisks (*) means a significant (p < 0.05) difference when comparing to the control group.

medium with epinephrine increases the in vitro motility, and expression of the ETEC E68 F4 fimbriae as well as the LT toxin. Given the presence of stress-related hormones in the host, these findings suggest that in the in vivo circumstance, epinephrine and autoinducers play a role in the pathogenesis of ETEC E68 infection. However, further studies using better AI characterization as well different ETEC strains need to be done, since the regulatory circuit observed in this study may be unique to ETEC E68.

In this study the presence of autoinducers was established by the use of conditioned medium; however we were not able to demonstrate the presence of any specific autoinducer (e.g., AI-2, AI-3, and indole). On the other hand, it is worth mentioning that even without autoinducer characterization in the conditioned medium, one can infer that most of the effect observed was due to AI-3, since its effect could be inhibited by the addition of β adrenergic-receptor blocker (atenolol) to the treatments (Sperandio et al., 2003; Clarke and Sperandio, 2005). Also, using as control of possible other metabolic components, the conditioned medium from *E. coli* DH5 α which does not produce AI-2 and AI-3 (or produces at insignificant and biologically inactive quantities) (Walters et al., 2006) had no effect on motility, frimbrae expression and growth. However, to reach a clear conclusion that AI-3 plays a role in regulating the expression of the studied factors, further studies are required.

Colonization of the small intestine by E. coli is characterized by its ingestion by the host and its rapid proliferation (Fairbrother et al., 2005). In this study, we found that addition of conditioned medium to E. coli E68 culture increased its growth rate significantly (Fig. 1). This effect began at the lag phase of culture, reaching a peak at hour four, where the highest difference among the others treatments was observed. Following this time period, the difference in relation to the control group began to reduce, and by seven hours no difference was observed among the treatments. Our findings differ from Zhu et al. (2011) which observed that after three hours of incubation, there was no significant difference in bacterial counts regardless of the initial levels of the inoculums. One might suggest that these differences could be related to the strain used, the conditioned medium concentration, temporal gene regulation and by the initial inoculum used (Freestone et al., 2007). Our data suggests that the presence of some metabolite in the conditioned medium increases the growth rate of E. coli E68. Thus, we propose that this effect might occur due to the presence of factor(s) (e.g., AI-3) present from the beginning of the culture, that will modulate genes responsible for bacteria growth, and the new bacteria population will produce the same factor(s), and by doing so, differentiating from the other treatments.

Interestingly, we did not observe any effect of epinephrine on bacterial growth, even when used in combination with the conditioned medium (Fig. 1), differing from other study (Sperandio et al., 2001; Bearson et al., 2010). Studies reported that incubation of ETEC with norepinephrine increased the growth rate significantly (Lyte et al., 1997). We did not observe any effect of norepinephrine (data not shown) or epinephrine regardless the concentration tested (data not shown) in the ETEC growth dynamics. A different study verified that depending on the epinephrine concentration used, it was able to inhibit Yersinia enterocolitica growth, and at the same concentration did not inhibit Salmonella enterica or E. coli O157:H7, suggesting that the catecholamine (norepinephrine/epinephrine) effect may be dependent on the concentration, bacterial strain and/or species studied (Freestone et al., 2007). Kendall et al. (2007) suggest that the AI-3 and epinephrine can use the same signaling pathway, and that their concentration and/or temporal presence, might play different roles in gene modulation. Thus, it might be an explanation to the observed results in the growth dynamics in our study.

It has been reported that epinephrine enhances bacterial growth by functioning as a siderophore to provide iron to the bacterial cell (Freestone et al., 2000); however, this was not observed in our work. In this study, we added iron (FeCl₃·6H₂O) in the treatment that combined conditioned medium and epinephrine and we did not observe any increase in bacterial growth (data not shown). Furthermore, gene modulation by the pathway used by AI-3 and epinephrine do not necessarily overlap, suggesting that other components might interfere with this signaling pathway (Kendall et al., 2007). This observation might be relevant if it happened in the host, since the number of colonized bacteria will increase in a shorter time frame, allowing for other virulence factors (e.g., F4, LT) to be expressed in higher amounts, overcoming host defenses and leading to disease. The results of the present study provide evidence that such effect might happen in the infected ETEC host. These phenomena do not appear to be constrained to in vitro, given that litters suffering stress have been reported to increase fecal excretion of ETEC relative to control piglets (Jones et al., 2001).

The adhesion of ETEC to the intestinal epithelium is a very complex process that involves a number of structures including flagella (Bardiau et al., 2010). Flagella are complex cylindrical structures assembled from approximately 20,000 flagellin (FliC) molecules that travel down the nascent flagellar cylinder to the distal tip where they are directed by cap proteins (FliD) (Moens and Vanderleyden, 1996) into the growing flagellum. In this study we observed that the presence of conditioned medium, or its combination with epinephrine, significantly increased bacterial motility (Fig. 2). When we combined epinephrine to the conditioned medium, a significant increase in halo diameter occurred, and this effect was inhibited by the addition of β adrenergic-receptor blocker, suggesting that some signaling was through AI-3/catecholamine pathway (Fig. 2), and the qPCR findings (Fig. 4) corroborate with this observation.

Fimbriae are the primary virulence factors of ETEC, responsible for its colonization on the host. F4 fimbriae are long proteinaceous appendages composed of hundreds of identical repeating protein subunits called FaeG, as well as some minor subunits (Van den Broeck et al., 2000). The major F4 fimbrial subunit is the adhesive subunit, which allows these bacteria to adhere to specific receptors on enterocytes (Mol and Oudega, 1996), which results in colonization. Using the hemagglutination assay to detect F4 fimbriae protein expression, we observed that epinephrine alone, and conditioned medium alone do not result in an enhanced hemagglutination (Fig. 3A). However, when combined conditioned medium with epinephrine, this treatment resulted in a significant increase F4 protein expression. Similar results were observed when we used ELISA to evaluate F4 protein expression, where the treatment with conditioned medium with epinephrine showed significant protein expression (Fig. 3B). Thus, as suggested previously by others (Clarke and Sperandio, 2005) the signaling mediated by quorum sensing might have a threshold that modulates genes based on the signal and/or its intensity, and F4 gene modulation may follow this threshold.

It is possible that other molecules apart from AI-3 were responsible for the observed effects of conditioned medium. Molecules such as indole have also been described as an interkingdom signal and have added more understanding in the symbiotic interaction at the molecular level in pathogenic infections in GI tract. Since commensal *E. coli* may produce indole at concentrations that can reach 600 μ M in culture (Domka et al., 2006), and indole can be detected in human feces at concentrations up to 1000 μ M (Karlin et al., 1985; Zuccato et al., 1993) it is likely that pathogenic *E. coli* are exposed to this in the environment. However, it is unlikely that indole influenced the up-regulation of the genes observed in this study as Bransel et al.

(2007), reported that indole exerts an opposite effect to epinephrine and norepinephrine on EHEC motility and virulence gene expression at $37 \,^{\circ}$ C. It is possible that indol had an inhibitory role that was overcome by other signaling molecules at the log phase of growth in this study.

Among the virulence factors present in ETEC, the heat-labile toxin (LT) is one of the most important, present in most isolates from post weaning diarrhea in pigs (Berberov et al., 2004; Moon and Bunn, 1993). The ETEC LT is an 84 kDa A:B5 protein structure, in which a single enzymatic A subunit is non-covalently combined with a pentamer of B subunits that bind the toxin to its receptor (de Haar and Hirst, 2004). Functions other than enterotoxicity have been ascribed to LT. Horstman et al. (2004) suggested that since LT binds to ETEC lipopolisacharide and remains combined with the bacterial surface, it can act as an adhesin binding the bacteria to the cell surface. Interestingly, Berberov et al. (2004) demonstrated that elimination of the ETEC LT genes not only reduced the severity of diarrhea but also reduced its colonization.

Following qPCR analysis of ETEC E68 cultures, we observed that all studied genes were up regulated at two hours of culture in media enriched with conditioned medium with epinephrine, and this effect was inhibited by the β adrenergic-receptor blocker, atenolol (Fig. 4A). This represents some evidence that the signaling pathway to modulate the up-regulation of these genes might be using the AI-3/catecholamines pathway. We also observed a timedependent fliC, faeG, faeC and eltB gene mRNA expression, where an increased expression of all genes (fliC, faeG, faeC and eltB) started at 30 min and continued to increase up to two hours of culture (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, the mRNA expression of *fliC* began at low levels at 30 min. but at one hour it was expressed linearly up two hours of culture. The early expression of these genes combined with the early growth of ETEC when cultured in presence of conditioned medium and epinephrine might represent a competitive differential in the gut environment. It would be interesting to investigate if the same observation can be made in vivo, when host stress factor (epinephrine) and bacterial molecules (AI) are present in the same environment during the ETEC infection. AI-3 and epinephrine can use the same signaling pathway, and their threshold concentration and/or temporal presence of both modulate the expression of most important virulence factors in ETEC (F4 and LT), the presence of both in the same host environment may interfere with the out came of the disease.

5. Conclusion

Given the presence of catecholamine hormones and autoinducers in the gastrointestinal tract, findings from this study suggest that their combination within the host may increase the expression of ETEC E68 virulence factors. We observed that the presence of epinephrine and conditioned medium increases the ETEC growth rate. Additionally, their association was able to modulate the expression of the major ETEC virulence factors: F4 fimbriae and LT. The results of this study help to better understand the pathogenesis of ETEC E68 infection and suggest novel interactions that occur within the intestine to promote its virulence.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Federal University of Pelotas, the graduate program in Biotechnology, and CAPES for Sturbelle, R.T. scholarship and research grant.

References

- Anonymous, 1997. Applied Biosystems Prism 7700 Sequence Detectio System: User Bulletin No. 2. PerkinsElmer Corp., Norwlak, CT.
- Bardiau, M., Szalo, M., Maini, J.G., 2010. Initial adherence of EPEC, EHEC and VTEC to host cells. Vet. Res. 41, 57.
- Bearson, B.L., Bearson, S.M.D., 2008. The role of the QseC quorum-sensing sensor kinase in colonization and norepinephrine-enhanced motility of *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium. Microb. Pathog. 44, 271–278.
- Bearson, B.L., Bearson, S.M.D., Lee, I.S., Brunelle, B.W., 2010. The Salmonella enteric serovar Typhimurium QseB response regulator negatively regulates bacterial motility and swine colonization in the absence of the QseC sensor kinase. Microb. Pathog. 48, 214–219.
- Berberov, E.M., Zhou, Y., Francis, D.H., Scott, M.A., Kachman, S.D., Moxley, R.A., 2004. Relative importance of heat-labile enterotoxin in the causation of severe diarrheal disease in the gnotobiotic piglet model by a strain of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* that produces multiple enterotoxins. Infect. Immun. 72, 3914–3924.
- Bransel, T., Englert, D., Lee, J., Hedgde, M., Wood, T.K., Jayaraman, A., 2007. Differential effects of epinephrine, norepinephrine, and indole on *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 chemotaxis, colonization, and gene expression. Infect. Immun. 75, 4597–4607.
- Clarke, M.B., Sperandio, V., 2005. Events at the host-microbial interface of the gastrointestinal tract III. Cell-to-cell signaling among microbial flora, host, and pathogens: there is a whole lot of talking going on. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 288, 1105–1109.
- de Haar, L., Hirst, T.R., 2004. Cholera toxin: a paradigm for multi-functional engagement of cellular mechanisms. Mol. Membr. Biol. 21, 77–92.
- Dowd, S.E., Callaway, T.R., Morrow-Tesch, J., 2007. Handling may cause increased shedding of *Escherichia coli* and total coliforms in pigs. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 4, 99–102.
- Domka, J., Lee, J., Wood, T.K., 2006. YliH (BssR) and YceP (BssS) regulate Escherichia coli K-12 biofilm formation by influencing cell signaling. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72, 2449–2459.
- Fairbrother, J.M., Nadeau, E., Gyles, C.L., 2005. Escherichia coli in postweaning diarrhea in pigs: an update on bacterial types, pathogenesis, and prevention strategies. Anim. Health Res. 6, 17–39.
- Freestone, P.P., Haigh, R.D., Lyte, M., 2007. Specificity of catecholamine-induced growth in *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7, *Salmonella enterica e Yersinia enterocolitica*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 269, 221–228.
- Freestone, P.P., Lyte, M., Neal, C.P., Maggs, A.F., Haigh, R.D., Williams, P.H., 2000. The mammalian neuroendocrine hormone norepinephrine supplies iron for bacterial growth in the presence of transferrin or lactoferrin. J. Bacteriol. 182, 6091–6098.
- Freestone, P.P., Sandrini, S.M., Haigh, R.D., Lyte, M., 2008. Microbial endocrinology: how stress influences susceptibility to infection. Trends Microbiol. 16, 55–64.
- Freestone, P.P., Williams, P.H., Haigh, R.D., Maggs, A.F., Neal, C.P., Lyte, M., 2002. Growth stimulation of intestinal commensal *Escherichia coli* by catecholamines: a possible contributory factor in trauma-induced sepsis. Shock 18, 465–470.
- Gil Turnes, C., Aleixo, J., Monteiro, A.V., Dellagostin, O.A., 1999. DNA inoculation with a plasmid vector carrying the *faeG* adhesin gene of *Escherichia coli* K88ab induced immune response in mice and pigs. Vaccine 17, 2089–2095.
- Horger, S., Schultheiss, G., Diener, M., 1998. Segment-specific effects of epinephrine on iron transport in the colon of the rat. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 275, G1367–76.
- Horstman, A.L., Bauman, J.S., Kuehn, M.J., 2004. Lipopolysaccharide 3-deoxy-dmanno-octulosonic acid (Kdo) core determines bacterial association of secreted toxins. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 8070–8075.
- Hovelius, B., Mardh, P.A., 1979. Haemagglutination by Staphulococcus saprophyticus and other staphylococcal species. Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand. 87, 45–50.
- Jones, P.H., Roe, J.M., Miller, B.G., 2001. Effects of stressors on immune parameters and on the faecal shedding of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in piglets following experimental inoculation. Res. Vet. Sci. 70, 9–17.
- Karlin, D.A., Mastromarino, A.J., Jones, R.D., Stroehlein, J.R., Lorentz, O., 1985. Fecal skatole and indole and breath methane and hydrogen in patients with large bowel polyps or cancer. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 109, 135–141.
- Kendall, M.M., Rasko, D.A., Sperandio, V., 2007. Global effects of the cell-to-cell signaling molecules autoinducer-2, autoinducer-3, and epinephrine in a luxS mutant of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. Infect. Immun. 75, 4875–4884.
- Lyte, M., Erickson, A.K., Arulanandam, B.P., Frank, C.D., Crawford, M.A., Francis, D.H., 1997. Norepinephrine-induced expression of the K99 pilus adhesin of
- enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 232, 682–686. Moens, S., Vanderleyden, J., 1996. Functions of bacterial flagella. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 22, 67–100.
- Mol, O., Oudega, B., 1996. Molecular and structural aspects of fimbriae biosynthesis and assembly in *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 19, 25–52.
- Moon, H.W., Bunn, T.O., 1993. Vaccines for preventing enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli infections in farm animals. Vaccine 11, 213–220.
- Moreira, C.G., Weinshenker, D., Sperandio, V., 2010. QseC mediates Salmonella enteric serovar Typhimurium virulence in vitro and in vivo. Infect. Immun. 78, 914–926.
- Polotsky, Y., Dragunsky, E., Khavkin, T., 1994. Morphologic evaluation of the pathogenesis of bacterial enteric infections. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 20, 161–208.
- Purves, D., Fitzpatrick, D., Williams, S.M., McNamara, J.O., Augustine, G.J., Katz, L.C., LaMantia, A., 2001. Neuroscience. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland, MA.

- Qadri, F., Svennerholm, A.M., Faruque, A.S., Sack, R.B., 2005. Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in developing countries: epidemiology, microbiology, clinical features, treatment, and prevention. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 18, 465–483.
- Rasko, D.A., Moreira, C.G., Li, R., Reading, N.C., Ritchie, J.M., Waldor, M.K., 2008. Targeting QseC signaling and virulence for antibiotic development. Science 321, 1078–1080.
- Sperandio, V., Torres, A.G., Girón, J.A., Kaper, J.B., 2001. Quorum sensing is a global regulatory mechanism in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7. J. Bacteriol. 183, 5187–5197.
- Sperandio, V., Torres, A.G., Jarvis, B., Nataro, J.P., Kaper, J.B., 2003. Bacteria-host communication: the language of hormones. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 8951–8956.
- Sperandio, V., Torres, A.G., Kaper, J.B., 2002. Quorum sensing *Escherichia coli* regulators B and C (QseBC): a novel two-component regulatory system involved in the regulation of flagella and motility by quorum sensing in *E.coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 43, 809–821.
- Toscano, M.J., Stabel, T.J., Bearson, S.M.D., Bearson, B.L., Lay Jr, D.C., 2007. Cultivation of *Salmonella enteric* serovar *Typhimurium* in a norepinephrine–containing medium alters in vivo tissue prevalence in swine. J. Exp. Anim. Sci. 43, 329–338.
- Van den Broeck, W., Cox, E., Oudega, B., Goddeeris, B.M., 2000. The F4 fimbrial antigen of *Escherichia coli* and its receptors. Vet. Microbiol. 71, 223–244.
 Walters, M., Sircili, M.P., Sperandio, V., 2006. Al-3 synthesis is not dependent on luxS
- in *Escherichia coli*, J. Bacteriol. 188, 5668–5681. Zhu, J., Yin, X., Yu, H., Zhao, L., Sabour, P., Gong, J., 2011. Involvement of quorum
- sensing and heat-stable enterotoxin a in cell damage caused by a oorcine enterotoxigenic *E. coli* strain. Infect. Immun. 79, 1688–1695. Zuccato, E., Venturi, M., Giuseppe, D.L., Colombo, L., Bertolo, C., Doldi, B.S., Mussini,
- Zuccato, E., Venturi, M., Giuseppe, D.L., Colombo, L., Bertolo, C., Doldi, B.S., Mussini, E., 1993. Role of bile acids and metabolic activity of colonic bacteria in increased risk of colon cancer after cholecystectomy. Dig. Dis. Sci. 38, 514–519.