

# Marine culturable yeasts in deep-sea hydrothermal vents: species richness and association with fauna

Gaëtan Burgaud<sup>1</sup>, Danielle Arzur<sup>1</sup>, Lucile Durand<sup>2</sup>, Marie-Anne Cambon-Bonavita<sup>2</sup> & Georges Barbier<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Universitaire de Biodiversité et Ecologie Microbienne (EA3882), IFR 148, Université Européenne de Bretagne, Université de Brest, ESMISAB, Technopole Brest-Iroise, Plouzané, France; and <sup>2</sup>lfremer, Centre de Brest, DEEP/LM2E, UMR 6197, Plouzané, France

Correspondence: Gaëtan Burgaud, Laboratoire Universitaire de Biodiversité et Ecologie Microbienne (EA3882), IFR 148, Université Européenne de Bretagne, Universite de Brest, ESMISAB, Technopole ´ Brest-Iroise, 29280 Plouzané, France. Tel.: +33 2 98 05 61 48; fax: +33 2 98 05 61 01; e-mail: [gaetan.burgaud@univ-brest.fr](mailto:gaetan.burgaud@univ-brest.fr)

Received 9 October 2009; revised 16 March 2010; accepted 16 March 2010. Final version published online 4 May 2010.

DOI:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00881.x

Editor: Michael Wagner

#### Keywords

yeasts; hydrothermal vents; fauna; 26S rRNA gene; FISH.

## Introduction

Yeasts are ubiquitous microorganisms that represent a part of the microbiota in all natural ecosystems, such as soils, freshwaters and marine waters from the ocean surface to the deep sea. Marine yeasts are divided into obligate and facultative groups. Obligate marine yeasts are yeasts that have never been isolated from anywhere other than the marine environment, whereas facultative marine yeasts are also known from terrestrial habitats (Kohlmeyer & Kohlmeyer, 1979). Based on these definitions, Kohlmeyer & Kohlmeyer (1979) examined yeasts occurring in marine environments and gathered a list of 176 species isolated from diverse marine habitats. Of those, only 25 were obligate marine yeasts, widely represented by the genera Metschnikowia, Rhodosporidium, Candida and Torulopsis.

Hawksworth (2002) hypothesized the existence of 1.5 million fungal species; this estimate is now a commonly used and accepted figure. If this is correct,  $\langle 5\% \rangle$  of the fungi have been described up to now and these almost exclusively from terrestrial environments. In that ecosystem,

#### **Abstract**

Investigations of the diversity of culturable yeasts at deep-sea hydrothermal sites have suggested possible interactions with endemic fauna. Samples were collected during various oceanographic cruises at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, South Pacific Basins and East Pacific Rise. Cultures of 32 isolates, mostly associated with animals, were collected. Phylogenetic analyses of 26S rRNA gene sequences revealed that the yeasts belonged to Ascomycota and Basidiomycota phyla, with the identification of several genera: Rhodotorula, Rhodosporidium, Candida, Debaryomyces and Cryptococcus. Those genera are usually isolated from deep-sea environments. To our knowledge, this is the first report of yeasts associated with deep-sea hydrothermal animals.

> fungi are known to utilize a wide spectrum of simple and more complex organic compounds. The decomposition activities of fungi are clearly important in relation to the redistribution of elements among organisms and environmental compartments (Gadd, 2007). Bearing in mind these parameters, our hypothesis is that deep sea and especially hydrothermal vents, which remain underexplored habitats for fungi, could be ecological niches hosting specific fungal communities.

> Deep-sea hydrothermal vents are localized at seafloor spreading centers called rifts, where seawater seeps into cracked regions caused by the presence of hot basalt and magma. Seawater carrying dissolved minerals is then emitted from springs. Two major types of emissions have been found. Warm fluids diffuse at temperatures of 6–23  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ into seawater at  $2-4$  °C when hot vents called black smokers emit hydrothermal fluid at  $270-380$  °C (Munn, 2003). Thermal gradients in hydrothermal vents are so important that just a few centimeters away, the temperature can fall to  $2-4$  °C, allowing mesophilic or psychrophilic organisms as well as thermophilic and hyperthermophilic prokaryotes to

grow and interact with all biotic or abiotic components of these ecosystems. Dense animal communities cluster around these hot springs. These communities are supported by the chemolithoautotrophic activities of prokaryotes (Joergensen & Boetius, 2007).

The occurrence of fungi (filamentous fungi and yeasts) at deep-sea hydrothermal vents remains an underexplored topic. Over the last few years, interest in the diversity of microbial eukaryotes in these ecosystems has increased, using PCR amplification of SSU rRNA genes and sequence analysis (Edgcomb et al., 2002; Lopez-Garcia et al., 2003, 2007). These papers revealed a scarce fungal diversity, but some sequences were novel. Only two papers have dealt specifically with fungal diversity at deep-sea hydrothermal vents based on culture-dependent methods (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005; Burgaud et al., 2009). Culturable yeasts affiliated to Ascomycota and Basidiomycota phyla were reported from hydrothermal waters. Some papers assessing fungal diversity at deep-sea vents have also been published. Bass et al. (2007) reported the presence of sequences affiliated to Debaryomyces hansenii and novel sequences similar to Malassezia furfur in hydrothermal sediments. Le Calvez et al. (2009) reported that fungal diversity from deepsea vent animals was widely composed of sequences affiliated to Chytridiomycota and Basidiomycota phyla. The latter phylum was mostly represented by yeasts of, for example, the Cryptococcus and Filobasidium genera, which form dense clusters.

The occurrence of yeasts in other deep-sea environments has been studied to a much greater extent. Nagahama et al. (2001b) reported that culturable fungal diversity was dominated by ascomycetous yeasts in surface sediments in water depths exceeding 2000 m (Candida, Debaryomyces, Kluyveromyces, Saccharomyces and Williopsis). Inversely, diversity was dominated by basidiomycetous yeasts on the subsurface of sediments in water depths exceeding 2000 m and by deep-sea clams, tubeworms and mussels (Rhodotorula, Sporobolomyces, Cryptococcus and Pseudozyma). Recent studies have clearly demonstrated that Cryptococcus was the dominant genus sequenced from sediments collected at deep methane cold seeps (Takishita et al., 2006, 2007). Those observations are in agreement with Bass et al. (2007), who suggested that yeast forms dominate fungal diversity in deep oceans. Several yeasts mostly isolated from deep-sea sediments represented new species in the Ascomycota or Basidiomycota phyla (Nagahama et al., 1999, 2001a, 2003a, b, 2006, 2008).

In this study, we assessed the presence of yeasts at deepsea hydrothermal vents using a culture-based approach with an emphasis on yeasts interacting with the endemic animal fauna thriving in these extreme ecosystems. A recent paper (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005) has dealt with the diversity of yeasts in deep-sea vent waters but, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of culturable yeasts

isolated from deep-sea animals. The interactions with the fauna are discussed on the basis of cultures obtained from the samples collected during different oceanographic cruises at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR), South-west Pacific Lau Basin and East Pacific Rise (EPR).

# Materials and methods

#### Environmental sampling

We collected 210 hydrothermal samples during six oceanographic cruises at several dates and locations (for hydrothermal vents locations, see Tivey, 2007): (1) BIOLAU in the Lau Basin, South-west Pacific (12/05/1989–27/05/1989; 20°3.0'S, 176°7.8'W; -2620 m); (2) DIVANAUT2 (19/06/ 1994–01/07/1994) on the MAR at Menez Gwen  $(37^{\circ}51^{\prime}N,$  $31^{\circ}31'W$ ; -900 m) and Lucky Strike (37°17'N, 32°16'W;  $-1650 \text{ m}$ ) hydrothermal sites; (3) HERO on the EPR at Elsa site  $(30/09/1991 - 04/11/1991; 12^{\circ}48'N, 103^{\circ}57'W;$  $-2630$  m); (4) MARVEL (29/08/1997–13/09/1997) on the MAR at Menez Gwen and Lucky Strike sites; (5) EXOMAR (25/07/2005–28/08/2005) on the MAR at Rainbow  $(36°08'N, 34°00'W; -2300 m)$ , TAG  $(26°02'N, 44°54'W;$  $-3630 \text{ m}$ ) and Lost City (30°04'N, 42°12'W; -900 m) sites; (6) MoMARDREAM-Naut (08/07/2007–19/07/2007) on the MAR at Rainbow site. Depending on cruises, deepsea sampling was performed using the Deep Submergence Vehicle Nautile or the Remote Operated Vehicle (ROV) Victor 6000 and the N/O Atalante and Pourquoi Pas? research vessels.

The deep-sea samples were processed as described by Burgaud et al. (2009) taking care to avoid contamination by applying strict sterile sampling conditions.

#### Enrichment conditions and isolation

The samples were processed directly after the Nautile or ROV recovery. The collected samples were mainly composed of deep-sea hydrothermal vent animals (Rimicaris exoculata and Chorocaris chacei shrimps and Bathymodiolus azoricus mussels) and were used to inoculate the GYPS culture medium which had led to the best isolation rate during a previous study (Burgaud et al., 2009). This medium contained per liter: glucose (Sigma) 1 g, yeast extract (AES) 1 g, peptone (AES) 1 g, starch (Fisher) 1 g, sea salts (Sigma) 30 g. This medium was supplemented per liter with agar 15 g and chloramphenicol (Sigma) 500 mg; pH was also adjusted to 7.5. Cultures were done aerobically at 4, 15 and  $25^{\circ}$ C (ambient temperature), and at 35 and 45  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  (only during EXOMAR) at atmospheric pressure until fungal strains were visualized. During the MoMARDREAM-Naut cruise, some dissections were done on board on animal samples in order to investigate the yeast location.

Table 1. Culture collection of yeasts from deep-sea hydrothermal vents

Locations (depth)	Sample processed (type)	<b>Strains</b>	
South Pacific West (Lau Basin, - 2620 m)	B2E07: seawater surrounding mussels	Bio1	
	B9E07: gastropod (Ifremeria nautilei) gills	Bio <sub>2</sub>	
Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Rainbow, $-2300$ m)	EX6E01 to EX6E04: Rimicaris exoculata	$Ex2-Ex7$	
	EX6E05: Chorocaris chacei	Ex9, Ex11 and Ex12	
	MoPR1: Rimicaris exoculata	Mo20	
	MoPR1: Mirocaris fortunata	Mo21	
	MoPR2: Rimicaris exoculata	Mo22	
	MoPR3: sloughs of shrimp on smoker rocks	Mo24 and Mo25	
	MoPR5: colonization module TRAC (carbonates)	Mo26-Mo29	
	MoPR6: Bathymodiolus azoricus	Mo30-Mo36	
	MoPR8: Rimicaris exoculata	Mo37	
	MoPR9: sponge	Mo38 and Mo39	
	MoPR9: coral	Mo40	
Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Lost City, $-700$ m)	EX18E02: siliceous sponge	Ex15	

All purified strains from our collection (Table 1) have been integrated to the Souchothèque de Bretagne culture collection [\(http://www.ifremer.fr/souchotheque/internet/](http://www.ifremer.fr/souchotheque/internet/htdocs/generique.php?pagebody=catalogue.php) [htdocs/generique.php?pagebody=catalogue.php\) and are](http://www.ifremer.fr/souchotheque/internet/htdocs/generique.php?pagebody=catalogue.php) [available with an accession number associated to their](http://www.ifremer.fr/souchotheque/internet/htdocs/generique.php?pagebody=catalogue.php) [GenBank number.](http://www.ifremer.fr/souchotheque/internet/htdocs/generique.php?pagebody=catalogue.php)

## Physiological characterization and statistical analysis

All experiments were done in triplicate. The yeasts were grown in liquid GYPS broth media. The effect of temperature on growth was determined at 5, 15, 25 and 35 $\degree$ C at  $30 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  sea salts. The effect of salinity was analyzed modifying sea salt concentrations in media from 0 to  $60 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  with steps of  $15 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  at the optimal temperature for each strain. ODs were measured at 600 nm with Nanocolor 100D (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt, France) at 17, 22, 25 and 28 h of growth for salinity and temperature levels.

#### DNA extraction and 26S rRNA gene sequencing

DNA of each strain was extracted using FastDNA Spin Kit (MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France) specific for fungi and yeasts. Amplifications of the D1/D2 region of 26S rRNA gene were carried out with rRNA gene primers ITS5 (5'-GGA AGT AAA AGT CGT AAC AAG-3'), LR6 (5'-CGC CAG TTC TGC TTA CC-3'), NL1 (5'-GCA TAT CAA TAA GCG GAG GAA AAG-3') and NL4 (5'-GGT CCG TGT TTC AAG ACG G-3') as described by Gadanho & Sampaio  $(2005)$ . All PCR reactions were performed in 20- $\mu$ L reaction volumes containing 19  $\mu$ L of  $1 \times PCR$  buffer (Promega), 2 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Promega),  $0.6 \mu$ M of primers (forward and reverse), 1.25 U of Taq DNA Polymerase (Promega) and  $1 \mu$ L of DNA. The PCRs were performed on PTC-200 (BioRad, France). The amplification consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94  $\degree$ C for 2 min,

followed by 30 iterations of 15 s at 94  $\degree$ C, 30 s at 54  $\degree$ C, 1 min at 72 °C and a final extension step of 2 min at 72 °C. A negative control with sterile-distilled water replacing DNA was added. Two kinds of amplification were generated using ITS5-NL4 and NL1-LR6 primers. The amplified DNA fragments were separated by electrophoresis in 0.8% agarose (w/v) gel (Promega) in  $0.5 \times$  Tris–borate–EDTA buffer at 90 V for 1 h and stained with ethidium bromide. A molecular size marker was used for reference (Lambda DNA/ EcoR1+Hind III Markers, Promega). The DNA banding patterns were visualized under UV transillumination and picture files were generated using GEL-DOC 2000 (BioRad).

The sequencing of the D1/D2 region of the 26S rRNA gene was then realized using NL1 on the ITS5-NL4 fragments and NL4 on the NL1-LR6 fragments. The sequences were obtained using Big Dye Terminator technology (Applied Biosystems). This work was done at Biogenouest sequencing facility in the Station Biologique de Roscoff [\(http://www.sb-roscoff.fr\).](http://www.sb-roscoff.fr)

#### Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences were edited and cleaned using SEQUENCHER v. 4.8 (Gene Codes). Sequences were then imported to MEGA 4.0 software (Tamura et al., 2007). Each sequence was analyzed to find GenBank sequences with close BLAST-N hits (Altschul et al., 1990). Similarities between sequences were assessed using pairwise distance calculation with MEGA 4.0. The sequences were trimmed to ensure that all sequences had the same start and end point. All the D1/D2 regions of the 26S rRNA gene sequences were aligned using CLUSTALW v. 1.83 (Thompson et al., 1994). After visual checking and manual curation, an alignment composed of 62 taxa and 579 characters was analyzed for the Bayesian estimation of phylogeny using MRBAYES v. 3.1.2 software (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). A 2-million generation option has been set to run the Metropolis-coupled Monte Carlo Markov

chain (mcmc) method. After generation 2 000 000, the SD of split frequencies was  $P = 0.005997$ , indicating that a convergence had occurred (*P*-value of  $< 0.05$ ). The alignment was analyzed using MODELTEST v. 3.7 (Posada & Crandall, 1998) to obtain the more realistic evolutionary model used for phylogenetic analyses ( $GTR+G$  model; gamma-distribution shape parameter  $= 0.3978$ ). Phylogeny was then evaluated using two different methods:

(1) Bayesian inference with MRBAYES v. 3.1.2 analysis was done using 2000000 generations and the *mcmc* method. The tree search included two mcmc searches with four chains (setting a default temperature for heating the chains) and a sampling frequency of 100 generations. A 'burnin' of 5000 (25% of the 2 000 000 generations/100 sample frequency) was set to exclude the first 5000 trees generated.

(2) Maximum likelihood (ML) with 100 bootstrap iterations was carried out using PHYML (Guindon et al., 2005) and the parameters obtained with MODELTEST v. 3.7. The final phylogenetic tree topology was realized using MRBAYES v. 3.1.2 analysis results. Nodes in the tree show Bayesian posterior probabilities and ML bootstraps, respectively.

#### Fluorescent probe design and evaluation

For the detection of yeasts isolated from deep-sea vent animals by FISH, we designed oligonucleotide probes using PRIMROSE software ([http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [Primrose/index.html\) as described by Ashelford](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) et al. [\(2002\) with a set of high-quality, full-length rRNA gene](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [sequences of probe target organisms. The](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) PRIMROSE design [tool produced oligonucleotide probes for the three principal](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [clusters of our collection \(Table 2\). These probes exhibited](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [no mismatches with the target organisms but did exhibit](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [mismatches with the next most similar sequences in the](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [GenBank database, proving that the designed probes were](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) highly specific *in silico*[. The target sites of newly designed](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [probes were checked for accessibility using the prediction](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)

[maps based on the 26S rRNA gene of](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Inacio et al[., 2003\). Each probe was in a relatively](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [accessible area of the 26S rRNA gene secondary structure](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [\(Supporting Information, Fig. S1\). As it was not possible to](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [test the probes with culture isolates that exhibited no or one](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [mismatch with the probes, we used an alternative method](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [and tested the probes against all strains from our collection](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [displaying two or more mismatches with the oligonuceo](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)[tides. All newly designed probes were labelled at the 5](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)' [terminus with the fluorescent marker Cy3. All probes were](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [synthesized by Proligo \(France\) and stored in sterile-dis](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)[tilled water at](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)  $-20$  $-20$  °[C. The newly designed probes were](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) checked under in situ [conditions with target and nontarget](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [species. The universal probe Euk516-Fluorescein \(5](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)'[-ACCA](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [GACTTGCCCTCC-3](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)'; Amann et al[., 1995\) and the](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [non-Euk516-Cy3 \(5](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)'[-CCTCCCGTTCAGACCA-3](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)'[\) probes](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [The average cell brightness was measured using different](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [formamide concentrations from 0% to 80% with steps](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [of 10%. Systematic evaluation of the signal intensities was](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [done by recording images of independent visual fields](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [\(encompassing at least 100 cells\), followed by digital](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [image analysis using](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) DAIME software (Daims et al., 2006). [During this step, the intensities of the image pixels analyzed,](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [enabled determination of single cell fluorescence in relative](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html) [units.](http://www.bioinformatics-toolkit.org/Primrose/index.html)

### FISH

#### On environmental samples

Interior branchiostegites of R. exoculata shrimps and byssus of B. azoricus mussels were processed for FISH analyses. Following harvest and dissections, animal subsamples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) for 3 h at  $4^{\circ}$ C in a dark room. After fixation, tissues were washed three times with PBS and

Probe	Hybridization stringency (% formamide)	rRNA subunit, binding position* and relative probe accessibility <sup>†</sup> Probe sequence (5'-3')		Target organisms (genus/species)	
Sacch	20	26S; 162-177; 44-66%	GGCATCTCATCGCACG	Debaryomyces, Pichia	
MitoFilo	10	26S: 397-412: 60%	ACACCGCAGAACGGCT	Members of the genus Cryptococcus <sup>‡</sup>	
MitoSporidio	20	26S; 164-179; 44-66%	TGGGCGTCCGCACCAT	Members of the genera Rhodotorula and Rhodosporidium <sup>§</sup>	

Table 2. Yeast oligonucleotide probes and their sequences, target organisms and binding positions on the 26S rRNA gene

-Nucleotide position according to Saccharomyces cerevisiae 26S rRNA gene between NL1 and NL4 primers.

<sup>T</sup>According to Inacio e*t al*. (2003).

 $^{\ddag}$ Cryptococcus saitoi, Cryptococcus randhawii, Cryptococcus uzbekistanensis, Cryptococcus adeliensis, Cryptococcus vishniacii, Cryptococcus socialis, Cryptococcus friedmannii and Cryptococcus uniguttulatus.

<sup>‰</sup> Rhodotorula mucilaginosa, Rhodotorula glutinis, Rhodotorula graminis, Rhodotorula dairenensis, Rhodosporidium babjevae and Rhodosporidium diobovatum.

stored at  $-20$  °C in a storage buffer containing PBS and 96% ethanol (1 : 1).

#### On membrane filters

The seawater surrounding shrimps (MoMAR08, Rainbow) was sampled in 5-L sterile sampling bags using a peristaltic pump. Immediately after dives, seawater samples for in situ hybridizations were mixed with 3% formaldehyde (final concentration) for 2h at  $4^{\circ}$ C. Fixed seawater was then filtered on 0.22-um polycarbonate membranes (Nuclepore $^{(8)}$ , 47 mm diameter; Whatman, Maidstone, UK) and rinsed with a PBS  $2 \times$ /sterile seawater (v/v) buffer. Then filters were dehydrated using ethanol series (50%, 80% and absolute, 3 min each). Dried filters were stored at  $-20$  °C until hybridization treatments. Three membranes were treated in this study. The filtered volume was 0.8 L for membrane A, 1 L for membrane B and 1.5 L for membrane C. The filtered seawater on membranes A and B was from the same sample.

The samples (environmental samples and membrane filters) were cut in squares and pasted onto slides with one drop of 0.2% low-gelling point agarose (35–40  $\degree$ C) (Menzel-Glaser, Germany). All slides were then dipped in 0.2% agarose and air dried. Samples were then subjected to dehydration with increasing concentrations of ethanol (50%, 80% and 96%, for 3 min each). The concentration of working solutions of probes was 30 ng DNA  $\text{L}^{-1}$ . The hybridization buffer, containing 0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2), 0.03% SDS, and 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70% and 80% formamide, and the fluorescent probes were gently mixed in a ratio of  $10:1$  (v/v) to obtain a final oligonucleotide concentration of  $3 \text{ ng } L^{-1}$ . For hybridization, slides were placed in sampling tubes and incubated at  $46^{\circ}$ C in the dark for exactly 3 h. Following hybridization, the slides were washed with prewarmed washing buffer [20 mM Tris/HCl, 5 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) and 900, 450, 215, 102, 46, 18, 5, 0.6 and 0 mM NaCl corresponding, respectively, to 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70% and 80% formamide stringencies] for 20 min at 48 °C. Slides were rinsed with double-distilled water, air dried, DAPI stained (final concentration  $1 \mu g \, \text{mL}^{-1}$ ) and mounted with the antifading reagent Citifluor AF 2 (Citifluor, France) before observation under a fluorescent microscope.

# Results

#### Yeast isolation

Yeasts were not found in all the studied sites (Table 1). No yeast was isolated from samples collected during HERO (on the East Pacific Rise at Elsa site), DIVANAUT2 and MAR-VEL (Menez Gwen and Lucky Strike) cruises or at TAG site during the EXOMAR oceanographic cruise. The hydrothermal site that yielded the highest number of isolates was clearly Rainbow (29 isolates from 32 strains). Rainbow is also the site where the highest number of samples was processed (97/210). The yeast collection obtained from deep-sea samples raised 32 isolates that could be divided in pigmented yeasts  $(n=18)$  and nonpigmented yeasts  $(n = 14)$ . Pigmented yeasts consisted mainly of red-pigmented yeasts ( $n = 16$ ) with one black-pigmented yeast and one brown-pigmented yeast.

Regarding yeast isolation vs. type of substrate, strains were obtained mostly from hydrothermal shrimps R. exoculata  $(n=11)$ , C. chacei  $(n=3)$  and Mirocaris fortunata  $(n=1)$ , and from hydrothermal mussels *B. azoricus*  $(n=7)$ . Carbonate colonization modules deployed for 1 year near Rainbow vent yielded a few yeasts  $(n=4)$ ; and three yeasts were isolated from sponges. One strain each was obtained from seawater, gastropod and coral samples (Table 1). These results indicate that the yeasts were associated much more with animals than with mineral substrates. Statistical distribution tests were performed to determine the distribution type of yeasts in hydrothermal sites. The variance to mean ratio (s2/m) was calculated for each site (Cancela da Fonseca, 1966). Values of s2/m significantly different from 1 correspond to  $(s2/m) - 1 > 2[2n/(n-1)2]1/2$  and were obtained only for the Rainbow site. For this hydrothermal site, an aggregate distribution was observed  $(s2/m = 1.32)$ , indicating that the culturable yeasts isolated were located in specific niches in this hydrothermal site (mainly shrimps and mussels).

During the MoMARDREAM-Naut cruise, dissections of body components were processed for all shrimps (branchiostegites, scaphognathites, exopodites, gills, stomach and digestive tract) and mussels (interior and external faces of shells) to investigate the localization of yeasts in deep-sea animals. For shrimps, a large majority of strains were grown from the inner side of the branchiostegites, which can be divided into three different compartments: (1) an anteroventral area, which was relatively clear; (2) a posterior area, which always remained light beige; and (3) an antero-dorsal area, with an intensely rusty coloration (for schematic views, see Zbinden et al., 2004; Corbari et al., 2008). Yeast isolates from this study were all cultivated from the antero-dorsal area, which was characterized by high amounts of minerals and a dense bacterial mat.

Seven yeasts were also isolated from B. azoricus during the MoMADREAM-Naut oceanographic cruise (Table 1). Six of them were cultivated from the external face of the mussel shells, more precisely from the byssus, which is a network of filaments that allows attachment to rocks. This tangle gathers many particles and organic matter in decomposition (G. Burgaud, personal observation). Only one yeast was isolated from the interior of a mussel (Mo32).

## Physiological analysis

Three categories of strains were identified (Table 3) based on the definition of halotolerant and halophilic microorganisms (Kushner, 1978; Margesin & Schinner, 2001). Nonhalophiles are strains with maximal growth without sea salts and a decreasing growth rate with increasing concentrations of sea salts in media. Halotolerant yeasts are strains able to grow in the absence as well as in the presence of salt. Halophiles require salt for an optimal growth. Regarding halophily, optimal salinities, optimal temperatures and OD measurement, nine physiological groups were defined. Most of the isolated strains were nonhalophiles (23 strains) and halotolerant (two strains, maximal OD at  $30 g L^{-1}$  sea salts) growing efficiently at an optimal temperature of  $25^{\circ}$ C. Four strains had poor maximal growth at  $25^{\circ}$ C, including one nonhalophile, two halotolerant (maximal OD at 30 and  $60 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  sea salt) and one halophile (maximal OD at  $30 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  sea salt). Three strains had maximal and efficient growth at  $35^{\circ}$ C, including one nonhalophile, one halotolerant (maximal OD at  $45 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  sea salts) and one halophile (maximal OD at  $30 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  sea salts).

### Identification

A sequence analysis of the D1/D2 domain of the 26S rRNA gene was done to identify species (Fig. 1). Twelve phylotypes were found among the collection of yeasts isolated from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Eleven phylotypes could be assigned to a known yeast species and one represents a new yeast species.

Within Basidiomycota, the Sporidiobolales order was the dominant cluster, comprising 16 strains. The majority of strains (Ex2, Ex3, Ex4, Ex5, Ex6, Ex7, Ex9, Ex11, Ex12, Mo32, Mo35 and Mo37) were identified as Rhodotorula mucilaginosa (100% similarity). Most of the R. mucilaginosa were isolated from deep-sea shrimps ( $n = 14$ ) and the others from deep-sea mussels  $(n=2)$ . As a member of the Sporidiobolales order, isolates affiliated to Rhodosporidium diobovatum were also isolated (Mo24, Mo33 and Mo38) with 100% similarity. These three strains were isolated, respectively, from R. exoculata exuviae in decomposition on smoker rocks, B. azoricus and a sponge. One strain isolated from R. exoculata was identified as Sporobolomyces roseus based on 26S rRNA genes (Mo22) with 100% similarity with the reference strain. Four strains (Mo26, Mo27, Mo28 and Mo29) were affiliated to the Filobasidiales order and identified as Cryptococcus uzbekistanensis (100% similarity). These four strains were all isolated from a carbonate colonization module. Finally, one isolate (Mo36) from B. azoricus mussel was identified as Leucosporidium scottii in the Leucosporidiales order.

The Ascomycota phylum contained nine strains belonging to the Saccharomycetales order. Within this order, four strains (Mo20, Mo21, Mo40 and Bio2) isolated, respectively, from R. exoculata, M. fortunata, a deep-sea coral and the gills of the gastropod Ifremeria nautilei were identified as D. hansenii (100% similarity). Candida atlantica isolates were found in R. exoculata exuviae in decomposition (Mo25) and B. azoricus (Mo31). One strain isolated from a deep-sea sponge (Ex15) was identified as Pichia guilliermondii (100% similarity). Finally, among the Saccharomycetales order, one strain was identified as Candida viswanathii (Bio1) with 100% similarity. One halophilic strain (Mo39) isolated from a deep-sea coral represents a new species in the Candida genus and thus was identified as Candida sp. This strain has 95% similarity with the reference sequence of Candida atmosphaerica (23 mismatches on 505 bp). Mo30 isolated from B. azoricus was identified as Phaeotheca triangularis (mitosporic Ascomycota) with 100% similarity. In the Dothideales order, one strain (Mo34) isolated from B. azoricus was identified as Hortaea werneckii with 99.98% similarity (one mismatch on 560 bp).

Sequencing of the 26S rRNA genes indicated the presence of Ascomycota and Basidiomycota in our culture collection. There were twice as many of the Basidiomycota phylum  $(n=21)$  as of the Ascomycota phylum  $(n=11)$ . In terms of species richness, ascomycetous yeasts belonged to seven different clusters, whereas basidiomycetous yeasts belonged to five.

Table 3. Physiological analysis of the yeast collection

	Optimum $(qL^{-1})$	Low OD ( $< 1.1$ ) $25^{\circ}$ C	High OD ( $> 2.0$ )		
			$25^{\circ}$ C	35 °C	
Nonhalophilic	$0 - 15$	Mo25	Bio1, Bio2, Ex2, Ex3, Ex4, Ex5, Ex6, Ex7, Ex9, Ex11, Ex12, Mo20, Mo21, Mo24, Mo26, Mo27, Mo28, Mo29, Mo31, Mo32, Mo33, Mo35, Mo40	Ex15	
Halotolerant	30	Mo36	Mo37, Mo38		
	45			Mo30	
	60	Mo22			
Halophilic	30	Mo34		Mo39	

This table shows distribution of halotolerant and halophilic strains of the collection depending on their optimal salinities (q  $L^{-1}$  sea salt), optimal temperatures (°C) and maximal ODs of cultures on GYPS broth medium (120 r.p.m. on a rotary shaker) measured at 600 nm at four different incubation times (17, 22, 25 and 28 h).



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of deep-sea yeast isolates and close relatives obtained by analysis of the D1/D2 domain of the 26S rRNA gene. Topology was built using MRBAYES V. 3.1.2 from a CLUSTALW 1.83 alignment. Node support values are given in the following order: MRBAYES posterior probabilities/PHYML 100 bootstraps. Black circles represent nodes supported by an excess of 0.95 posterior probabilities and 95% bootstraps. Mucor flavus (EU071390) belonging to the Zygomycota phylum was used as outgroup. All sequences are listed with their GenBank accession numbers.

#### FISH

We processed numerous assays to detect fungi on deep-sea hydrothermal vent animal samples using different existing fluorescent probes from different databases. The Euk516- Cy3 probe gave positive results on pure cultures but strong background fluorescence on hydrothermal samples, and so was not used. The probe MY1574 targeting Eumycota organisms (Baschien et al., 2008) showed very weak fluorescence on pure cultures. We therefore decided to design our own probes (Table 2) based on our culture collection, divided into three main clusters: MitoFilo (Cryptococcus/ Mitosporic Filobasidiales order), MitoSporidio (Rhodotorula, Rhodosporidium/Mitosporic Sporidiobolales order) and Sacch (Debaryomyces, Pichia/Saccharomycetales order). These

probes revealed a strong specificity for the target organisms. The optimal conditions for the in situ hybridization protocol used stringent conditions of 20% formamide (Fig. S1).

Our aim was to check the applicability of the FISH method to the in situ detection of yeasts in deep-sea hydrothermal fauna samples. Hydrothermal body components of endemic shrimps (R. exoculata) and mussels (B. azoricus) were fixed for FISH experiments directly after dissection. The pieces of shrimps and mussels from which the highest number of fungi were isolated (interior branchiostegites of shrimps and byssus of mussels) were analyzed for yeast cell fluorescence. Although shrimp and mussel samples from Rainbow site led to the highest rate of isolation, no FISH signal was ever observed. The FISH detection limit of  $10^3$ – $10^4$  target cells mL<sup>-1</sup> is relatively high

(Daims et al., 2005) and thus the absence of FISH signals does not necessarily mean that the target organisms were not present in the samples.

To test this hypothesis, several volumes of water were concentrated on polycarbonate membrane filters to yield sufficient cells for FISH experiments with these new probes. Membrane filters were embedded in low gelling-point agarose to minimize cell loss. A small quantity of yeast cells could be visualized on these membrane filters (Fig. 2). Such results provide further evidence of the presence of yeast cells in hydrothermal vents, albeit at low concentrations. Using FISH on membrane filters, the yeast cells detected were affiliated to three genera: Rhodosporidium, Rhodotorula and Cryptococcus.

## **Discussion**

## Occurrence of yeasts in deep-sea hydrothermal vents

In this study, the main aim was to isolate yeast strains from deep-sea hydrothermal endemic fauna, knowing that yeasts can be isolated from seawater surrounding hydrothermal fauna (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005). Yeast isolation was

successful, although the retrieved species richness was relatively low. Thirty-two strains were isolated mostly from R. exoculata shrimps. The association with shrimps is probably favorable for yeasts, which can benefit from nutrients due to the water circulation in the gill chamber. Most of our strains were isolated from the Rainbow hydrothermal site, which confirms previous results (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005). The Rainbow hydrothermal field hosted in ultramafic rocks is a unique vent rich in  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $H<sub>2</sub>$ , CO and Fe, and deficient in  $H_2S$  (Charlou *et al.*, 2002). The high yeast isolation ratio may indicate that yeasts thrive in hydrothermal sites depleted of H2S. The isolation rate of nonpigmented yeasts on sulfur-free media was significantly higher than those on sulfur-based media in a previous study (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005), which supports such a hypothesis.

Several yeasts were also isolated from mussels, and more precisely from the byssus, which is composed of filaments with a high concentration of minerals and organic matter. These yeasts may have a role in the decomposition of organic material entrapped in mussel byssi in deep-sea vents. These results seem promising as they confirm the data obtained in previous studies and suggest that yeasts may interact with deep-sea hydrothermal vent fauna.



Fig. 2. FISH with specific oligonucleotide probes on membrane filters. (a–c) Membrane filter labelled with DAPI and hybridized using MitoSporidio probe indicating the presence of bacteria and yeast cells (blue). Yeasts belonging to Rhodotorula and Rhodosporidium genera are vizualized in pink (composite of blue and red). (d) Membrane filter labelled with DAPI and hybridized with MitoFilo indicating the presence of yeasts belonging to Cryptococcus genera. White arrows indicate the yeast cells.

Journal compilation @ 2010 Federation of European Microbiological Societies FEMS Microbiol Ecol 73 (2010) 121–133 Published by Blackwell Publishing Ltd. No claim to original French government works

#### Pattern of the culturable yeast communities

#### New species

The yeast that was first isolated from stomach of a marine fish was described as D. hansenii and deposited in the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS 5307) database. In a recent paper, based on the intergenic spacer region of the rRNA gene, this strain was reevaluated as Candida sp. (Nguyen et al., 2009). This strain is identical to another isolated from deep-sea hydrothermal vent waters and annotated MARY089 (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005). These two strains isolated from different marine environments were finally reported as a single new undescribed species within the Candida genus. In our collection, strain Mo39, isolated from deep-sea coral near Rainbow hydrothermal vents (Table 1), has the same 26S rRNA gene sequence as CBS 5307 and MARY089. Mo39 is halophilic and is therefore supposed to be an autochthonous marine yeast species. This new ecotype can be characterized as an obligate marine yeast and its complete description is currently being investigated.

#### Known species

Two strains (Mo25 and Mo31) isolated from R. exoculata and B. azoricus samples were identified as C. atlantica. This result seems in keeping with previous published studies that have isolated this species from coastal seawater in the south of Portugal (Gadanho et al., 2003) and in deep-sea hydrothermal vent waters (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005). The very first C. atlantica strain was isolated from shrimp eggs in the North Atlantic Ocean (Siepmann & Höhnk, 1962). Candida atlantica appeared to be a marine obligate yeast and some interactions with shrimps seemed to occur. Our physiological analysis revealed that Mo25 and Mo31 are nonhalophiles. This does not mean that they are unable to grow in marine environments; they may have a role in deep-sea environments in interaction with endemic crustaceans even if the growth conditions are not optimal. One isolate (Bio1) isolated from seawater surrounding mussels at Lau Basin in the South-west Pacific was clearly identified as C. viswanathii. Kohlmeyer & Kohlmeyer (1979) characterized this yeast as marine facultative. More recently, C. viswanathii was isolated from a shrimp (Penaeus braziliensis) in the Gulf of Mexico. Under the synonym Candida lodderae, this yeast was recently reported in deep-sea hydrothermal vent waters at Rainbow site (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005) and characterized as the most abundant yeast.

Leucosporidium scottii isolates (Mo36) have been retrieved only in the oceanic regions close to Antarctica and are known to be psychrophilic and probably autochthonous marine species (Lachance & Starmer, 1998). Such strains, which are known for their presence in cold polar marine

environments, could be further evidence of global exchanges from polar environments to deep-sea vents based on results from bacteria (Maruyama et al., 2000) and filamentous fungi (Burgaud et al., 2009). Hortaea werneckii (Mo34) was characterized as halophilic in our physiological study. This is not surprising, as this black yeast-like fungus was characterized as halophilic or extremely halotolerant in different studies (Gunde-Cimerman et al., 2000; Kogej et al., 2005) where it has frequently been isolated from hypersaline waters of solar salterns. In a molecular survey, H. werneckii was identified (based on internal transcribed spacers and 5.8S rRNA gene) in deep-sea methane seep sediments at a depth of 2965 m (Lai et al., 2007). Phaeotheca triangularis (Mo30) has also frequently been isolated from salt environments (Gunde-Cimerman et al., 2000) and characterized as halophilic. This confirmed previous results on P. triangularis showing a better growth with 5% additional salts (Zalar et al., 1999). In our study, Mo30 was characterized as halotolerant with 4.5% sea salt optimal concentration and thus hypothesized to be a marine-adapted yeast. This is the first report of the presence of *P. triangularis* at deep-sea vents.

Mo22 is described as S. roseus. The genus Sporobolomyces is composed of strains mainly isolated from the phyllophane (Bai et al., 2002). However, a previous study has shown that strains of the genus Sporobolomyces are frequently isolated from marine ecosystems, with the frequency of isolation increasing with increasing distance from the coastline and depth (Hernandez-Saavedra et al., 1992). Moreover, yeasts from this genus were found in benthic invertebrates collected from the deep-sea floor in the Pacific Ocean (Nagahama et al., 2001b). Our strain was isolated from a deep-sea hydrothermal shrimp in the Atlantic Ocean and characterized as halotolerant with an optimal salinity of 6% sea salts. This may indicate that yeasts of this genus are also able to live in deep-sea vents and interact with endemic crustaceans.

A previous study of yeasts in oceanic environments (Fell, 1976) reported that yeast communities appeared to be composed of ubiquitous and endemic species. Typical ubiquitous strains were the ascomycetous yeast D. hansenii and the basidiomycetous yeasts Cryptococcus and Rhodotorula. Kohlmeyer & Kohlmeyer (1979) confirmed this statement and characterized these genera as mainly facultative marine yeasts. Some of these results, especially for Rhodotorula yeasts showing a strong ubiquity, were confirmed based on their presence in several habitats such as deep-sea vents (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005), deep-sea sediments (Nagahama et al., 2001b), coastal waters (Gadanho et al., 2003; Gadanho & Sampaio, 2004) and oligotrophic lakes (Libkind et al., 2003). Our results confirm their ubiquity and indicate that these strains seem to be allochthonous. Strain Ex15 identified as P. guilliermondii has also been characterized as nonhalophilic and may be another allochthonous yeast strain, as reported by Kohlmeyer & Kohlmeyer (1979).

The members of the genus Rhodosporidium have been characterized as nonhalophiles (Mo24 and Mo33) and halotolerant (Mo38). Based on previous reports, this genus seemed to be restricted to marine environments (Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005). Rhodosporidium diobovatum in deep-sea vents seemed to be able to colonize different substrates (shrimps, mussels and sponges). The isolation of a strain from shrimp exuviae in decomposition may indicate a role as a recycler of organic material and so a probable implication in the carbon cycle in deep-sea environments.

#### Adaptation to marine conditions

The isolation of culturable yeasts has led to an old question about marine yeasts: 'Are there any indigenous marine yeasts?' (Kohlmeyer & Kohlmeyer, 1979) and to the consequent question, 'Which are the indigenous species?'. Based on our results, one can suggest that halophilic strains are marine indigenous yeasts and that others, halotolerant and nonhalophiles, are ubiquitous terrestrial strains present in deep-sea waters due to sedimentation or other natural or anthropogenic phenomena. But almost all yeast species can grow well in media with NaCl concentrations exceeding those normally present in seawater (Kohlmeyer & Kohlmeyer, 1979). Few yeast species with a physiological dependence on NaCl or other seawater components have been reported (Nagahama, 2006). Thus, our results appear to be in good agreement with such statements. Only two strains described as halophiles (Mo34 and Mo39) in our study can be described as obligate marine yeasts.

### FISH observations

FISH using labelled oligonucleotide probes targeting rRNA has been used as a powerful technique for assessing both microbial identity and spatial distributions in situ in complex environmental contexts (Yang et al., 2008). Our results indicate a very low level of yeasts at deep-sea vents. As a first conclusion, regarding diversity and quantification (added to previous results of Gadanho & Sampaio, 2005), it seems that yeasts at deep-sea vents represent a minor community that may not be major actors in biogeochemical cycles. However, fluorescent signals are correlated to the cellular content of ribosomes and consequently to the microbial growth rates. Recently, the detection limits of conventional FISH with Cy3-labelled probe EUB338 were found to be approximately 370 16S rRNA gene molecules per cell for Escherichia coli hybridized on glass microscope slides and 1400 16S rRNA gene copies per E. coli cell in environmental samples (Hoshino et al., 2008). So, in addition to a low concentration of yeast cells, low detection of yeasts may be caused by low ribosome content of most yeasts in the deep-sea environment due to low-level metabolic activities of yeasts living under extreme environmental abiotic factors (high

hydrostatic pressure, low temperatures, etc.). Our attempts to cultivate the yeast strains in this study at elevated hydrostatic pressure have been successful, but ribosomal activities were lower at high hydrostatic pressure than at atmospheric pressure. Such results may account for the low fungal detection using FISH (G. Burgaud, unpublished data). Consequently, when using FISH alone, care must be taken when dealing with diversity and biomass estimations.

The quantification of yeasts using FISH has been impossible due to a nonhomogeneous repartition of microorganisms on filters. Moreover, bacterial and yeast cells were only visible in some regions of the filters without minerals due to strong autofluorescence. However, we can say that yeast concentrations are really low, as shown by the few cells visualized after filtration of seawater surrounding shrimps. This result is in keeping with the relatively low diversity revealed by Gadanho & Sampaio (2005), ranging from 0 to  $10$  CFU L<sup>-1</sup> for pink yeasts and from 0 to 6000 CFU L<sup>-1</sup> for nonpigmented yeasts. To better analyze the fungal presence in deep-sea animals, one could work with phylum-specific probes on histological sections of animals and use the CARD–FISH (Amann & Fuchs, 2008) or the DOPE–FISH (Stoecker et al., 2010) methods to amplify probe signals.

These data raise new questions regarding the ecological role of such microorganisms in deep-sea vents as well as the old question of the ubiquity or endemism of those strains. Yeasts at deep-sea vents may be facultative parasites or opportunistic pathogens of endemic deep-sea animals, as has already been hypothesized in previous work (Van Dover et al., 2007; Burgaud et al., 2009). However, they may also play a role in the decomposition of abundant organic material.

Considering all the results obtained, we can say that yeasts appear to interact with deep-sea hydrothermal endemic fauna even at low densities. These yeasts are mainly composed of ubiquitous species, but obligate marine yeasts have also been harvested. However, the results obtained using in situ hybridization have allowed us to visualize these ubiquitous species, showing that they are able to live and grow in deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Yeasts associated with endemic animals in deep-sea vents may be exposed to favorable conditions and could benefit from a stable source of nutrients (Nagahama et al., 2001b). Yeasts were reported from dead and healthy individuals, which may also indicate their facultative saprophytism and so emphasize the wide role of fungi in the decomposition of organic matter from terrestrial environments to deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Even if yeasts were isolated from animal body components, they were not visualized using FISH. To better understand the interaction with animals and fungi in deep-sea vents, we need to work on tissues, as done in Van Dover et al. (2007), and also with probes specific to fungal phyla (Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and Chytridiomycota). In conclusion, several questions regarding the role of yeasts in deep-sea hydrothermal vents and the endemism or ubiquity of the isolated yeasts remain difficult, with no clear answers. The culture of these yeasts under high hydrostatic pressures would be an interesting study to better characterize their lifestyle and role at deep-sea vents.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the chief scientists of the BIOLAU, DIVANAUT2, HERO, MARVEL, EXOMAR and MoMARDREAM-Naut cruises, the pilots and support crews of the oceanographic vessels and Deep Submergence Vehicles of Ifremer. We greatly acknowledge Dr Jerome Mounier for his valuable help and comments on FISH and Jerome Lepioufle for valuable advice on the manuscript. We thank all the members of the GDR Ecchis for their advice and suggestions. We would like to thank the editor and the anonymous reviewers who have provided helpful comments on the refinement of this manuscript. We also thank ANR Deep-Oases, Ifremer, Region Bretagne and French Research Ministry for their financial support. We finally thank Françoise Gaill and the CHEMECO/European Science Foundation EURODEEP for discussions and financial support.

# References

- Altschul S, Gish W, Miller W, Myers E & Lipman D (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403–410.
- Amann RI & Fuchs BM (2008) Single-cell identification in microbial communities by improved fluorescence in situ hybridization techniques. Nat Rev Microbiol 6: 339–348.
- Amann RI, Ludwig W & Schleifer K (1995) Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. Microbiol Rev 59: 143–169.
- Ashelford KE, Weightman AJ & Fry JC (2002) PRIMROSE: a computer program for generating and estimating the phylogenetic range of 16S rRNA oligonucleotide probes and primers in conjunction with the RDP-II database. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 3481–3489.
- Bai F, Zhao JH, Takashima M, Jia JH, Boekhout T & Nakase T (2002) Reclassification of the Sporobolomyces roseus and Sporidiobolus pararoseus complexes, with the description of Sporobolomyces phaffii sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Micr 52: 2309–2314.
- Baschien C, Manz W, Neu T, Marvanova L & Szewzyk U (2008) In situ detection of freshwater fungi in an alpine stream by new taxon-specific fluorescence in situ hybridization probes. Appl Environ Microb 74: 6427–6436.
- Bass D, Howe A, Brown N et al. (2007) Yeast forms dominate fungal diversity in the deep oceans. P R Soc B 274: 3069–3077.
- Burgaud G, Le Calvez T, Arzur D, Vandenkoornhuyse P & Barbier G (2009) Diversity of culturable marine filamentous fungi

from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Environ Microbiol 11: 1588–1600.

- Cancela da Fonseca JP (1966) L'outil statistique en biologie du sol. III. Indices d'intérêt écologique. Rev Ecol Biol Sol 3: 381–407.
- Charlou JL, Donval JP, Fouquet Y, Jean-Baptiste P & Holm N (2002) Geochemistry of high  $H_2$  and CH<sub>4</sub> vent fluids issuing from ultramafic rocks at the Rainbow hydrothermal field (36j14VN, MAR). Chem Geol 191: 345–359.
- Corbari L, Zbinden M, Cambon-Bonavita MA, Gaill F & Compère P (2008) Bacterial symbionts and mineral deposits in the branchial chamber of the hydrothermal vent shrimp Rimicaris exoculata: relationship to moult cycle. Aquat Biol 1: 225–238.
- Daims H, Stoecker K & Wagner M (2005) Fluorescence in situ hybridisation for the detection of prokaryotes. Advanced Methods in Molecular Microbial Ecology, (Osborn AM & Smith CJ, eds), pp. 213–239. BIOS Scientific Publishers, Abingdon, UK.
- Daims H, Lücker S & Wagner M (2006) daime, a novel image analysis program for microbial ecology and biofilm research. Environ Microbiol 8: 200–213.
- Edgcomb VP, Kysela DT, Teske A & de Vera Gomez A (2002) Benthic eukaryotic diversity in the Guaymas Basin hydrothermal vent environment. P Natl Acad Sci USA 99: 7658–7662.
- Fell JW (1976) Yeasts in oceanic regions. Recent Advances in Aquatic Mycology (Jones EBG, ed), pp. 93–124. Elek Science, London.
- Gadanho M & Sampaio JP (2004) Application of temperature gradient gel electrophoresis to the study of yeast diversity in the estuary of the Tagus river, Portugal. FEMS Yeast Res 5: 253–261.
- Gadanho M & Sampaio J (2005) Occurrence and diversity of yeasts in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge hydrothermal fields near the Azores archipelago. Microb Ecol 50: 408–417.
- Gadanho M, Almeida JM & Sampaio JP (2003) Assessment of yeast diversity in a marine environment in the south of Portugal by microsatellite-primed PCR. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 84: 217–227.
- Gadd GM (2007) Geomycology: biogeochemical transformations of rocks, minerals and radionuclides by fungi, bioweathering and bioremediation. Mycol Res 111: 3–49.
- Guindon S, Lethiec F, Duroux P & Gascuel O (2005) PHYML online – a web server for fast maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic inference. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 557–559.
- Gunde-Cimerman N, Zalar P, de Hoog S & Plemenitas A (2000) Hypersaline waters in salterns – natural ecological niches for halophilic black yeasts. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 32: 235–240.
- Hawksworth DL (2002) The magnitude of fungal diversity: the 1.5 million species estimate revisited. Mycol Res 105: 1422–1432.
- Hernandez-Saavedra NY, Hernandez-Saavedra D & Ochoa JL (1992) Distribution of Sporobolomyces (Kluyver et van Niel)

genus in the western coast of Baja California Sur, Mexico. Syst Appl Microbiol 15: 319–322.

- Hoshino T, Yilmaz LS, Noguera DR, Daims H & Wagner M (2008) Quantification of target molecules needed to detect microorganisms by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and catalyzed reporter deposition-FISH. Appl Environ Microb 74: 5068–5077.
- Joergensen BB & Boetius A (2007) Feast and famine microbial life in the deep-sea bed. Nat Rev Microbiol 5: 770–781.
- Kogej T, Ramos J, Plemenitas A & Gunde-Cimerman N (2005) The halophilic fungus Hortaea werneckii and the halotolerant fungus Aureobasidium pullulans maintain low intracellular cation concentrations in hypersaline environments. Appl Environ Microb 71: 6600–6605.

Kohlmeyer J & Kohlmeyer E (1979) Marine Mycology: The Higher Fungi. Academic Press, New York.

- Inacio J, Behrens S, Fuchs BM, Fonseca A, Spencer-Martins I & Amann R (2003) In situ accessibility of Saccharomyces cerevisiae 26S rRNA to Cy3-labeled oligonucleotide probes comprising the D1 and D2 domains. Appl Environ Microb 69: 2899–2905.
- Kushner DJ (1978) Life in high salt and solute concentrations. Microbial Life in Extreme Environments (Kushner DJ, ed), pp. 317–368. Academic Press, London.
- Lachance MA & Starmer WT (1998) Ecology and yeasts. The Yeasts, a Taxonomic Study, 4th edn (Kurtzman CP & Fell JW, eds), pp. 21–30. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Lai X, Cao L, Tan H, Fang S, Huang Y & Zhou S (2007) Fungal communities from methane hydrate-bearing deep-sea marine sediments in South China Sea. ISME J 1: 756-762.
- Le Calvez T, Burgaud G, Mahe S, Barbier G & Vandenkoornhuyse P (2009) Fungal diversity in deep sea hydrothermal ecosystems. Appl Environ Microb 75: 6415–6421.
- Libkind D, Brizzio S, Ruffini A, Gadanho M, van Broock M & Sampaio JP (2003) Molecular characterization of carotenogenic yeasts from aquatic environments in Patagonia, Argentina. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 84: 313–322.
- Lopez-Garcia P, Philippe H, Gail F & Moreira D (2003) Autochthonous eukaryotic diversity in hydrothermal sediment and experimental microcolonizers at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. P Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 697–702.
- Lopez-Garcia P, Vereshchaka A & Moreira D (2007) Eukaryotic diversity associated with carbonates and fluid–seawater interface in Lost City hydrothermal field. Environ Microbiol 9: 546–554.
- Margesin R & Schinner F (2001) Potential of halotolerant and halophilic microorganisms for biotechnology. Extremophiles 5: 73–83.
- Maruyama A, Honda D, Yamamoto H, Kitamura K & Higashihara T (2000) Phylogenetic analysis of psychrophilic bacteria from the Japan Trench, including a description of the deep-sea species Psychrobacter pacificensis sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Micr 50: 835–846.
- Munn CB (2003) Marine Microbiology Ecology and Applications. Bios-Garland Scientific, Oxford.
- Nagahama T (2006) Yeast biodiversity in freshwater, marine and deep-sea environments. Biodiversity and Ecophysiology of Yeasts (The Yeast Handbook series) (Rosa CA & Péter G, eds), pp. 241–262. Springer, Berlin & London.
- Nagahama T, Hamamoto M, Nakase T & Horikoshi K (1999) Kluyveromyces nonfermentans sp. nov., a new yeast species isolated from the deep sea. Int J Syst Evol Micr 49: 1899–1905.
- Nagahama T, Hamamoto M, Nakase T & Horikoshi K (2001a) Rhodotorula lamellibrachii sp. nov., a new yeast species from a tubeworm collected at the deep-sea floor in Sagami Bay and its phylogenetic analysis. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 80: 317–323.
- Nagahama T, Hamamoto M, Nakase T, Takami H & Horikoshi K (2001b) Distribution and identification of red yeasts in deepsea environments around the northwest Pacific Ocean. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 80: 101–110.
- Nagahama T, Hamamoto M, Nakase T & Horikoshi K (2003a) Rhodotorula benthica sp. nov. and Rhodotorula calyptogenae sp. nov., novel yeast species from animals collected from the deepsea floor, and Rhodotorula lysiniphila sp. nov., which is related phylogenetically. Int J Syst Evol Micr 53: 897–903.
- Nagahama T, Hamamoto M, Nakase T, Takaki Y & Horikoshi K (2003b) Cryptococcus surugaensis sp. nov., a novel yeast species from sediment collected on the floor of Suruga Bay. Int J Syst Evol Micr 53: 2095–2098.
- Nagahama T, Hamamoto M & Horikoshi K (2006) Rhodotorula pacifica sp. nov., a novel yeast species from sediment collected on the deep-sea floor of the north-west Pacific Ocean. Int J Syst Evol Micr 56: 295–299.
- Nagahama T, Abdel-Wahab MA, Nogi Y, Miyazaki M, Uematsu K, Hamamoto M & Horikoshi K (2008) Dipodascus tetrasporeus sp. nov., an ascosporogenous yeast isolated from deep-sea sediments in the Japan Trench. Int J Syst Evol Micr 58: 1040–1046.
- Nguyen H, Gaillardin C & Neuvéglise C (2009) Differentiation of Debaryomyces hansenii and Candida famata by rRNA gene intergenic spacer fingerprinting and reassessment of phylogenetic relationships among D. hansenii, C. famata, D. fabryi, C. flareri  $( = D.$  subglobosus) and D. prosopidis: description of D. vietnamensis sp. nov. closely related to D. nepalensis. FEMS Yeast Res 9: 641–662.
- Posada D & Crandall K (1998) Applications note. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14: 817–818.
- Ronquist F & Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572–1574.
- Siepmann R & Höhnk W (1962) Über Hefen und einige Pilze (Fungi imp., Hyphales) aus dem Nordatlantik. Veroeff Inst Meeresforsch Bremerhaven 8: 79–97.
- Stoecker K, Dorninger C, Daims H & Wagner M (2010) Double labeling of oligonucleotide probes for fluorescence in situ hybridization (DOPE–FISH) improves signal intensity and increases rRNA accessibility. Appl Environ Microb 76: 922–926.
- Takishita K, Tsuchiya M, Reimer JD & Maruyama T (2006) Molecular evidence demonstrating the basidiomycetous

fungus Cryptococcus curvatus is the dominant microbial eukaryote in sediment at the Kuroshima Knoll methane seep. Extremophiles 10: 165–169.

- Takishita K, Yubuki N, Kakizoe N, Inagaki Y & Maruyama T (2007) Diversity of microbial eukaryotes in sediment at a deep-sea methane cold seep: surveys of ribosomal DNA libraries from raw sediment samples and two enrichment cultures. Extremophiles 11: 563–576.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M & Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software, version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24: 1596–1599.
- Tivey MK (2007) Generation of seafloor hydrothermal vent fluids and associated mineral deposits. Oceanography 20: 50–65.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG & Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 4673–4680.
- Van Dover CL, Ward ME, Scott JL, Underdown J, Anderson B, Gustafson C, Whalen M & Carnegie RA (2007) A fungal epizootic in mussels at a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. Mar Ecol 28: 54–62.
- Yang L, Haagensen JAJ, Jelsbak L, Johansen HK, Sternberg C, Hoiby N & Molin S (2008) In situ growth rates and biofilm development of Pseudomonas aeruginosa populations in chronic lung infections. J Bacteriol 190: 2767–2776.
- Zalar P, de Hoog GS & Gunde-Cimerman N (1999) Ecology of halotolerant dothideaceous black yeasts. Stud Mycol 43: 38–48.
- Zbinden M, Le Bris N, Gaill F & Compere P (2004) Distribution of bacteria and associated minerals in the gill chamber of the vent shrimp Rimicaris exoculata and related biogeochemical processes. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 284: 237–251.

# Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Fig. S1. Target sites of the fluorescent oligonucleotide probes designed on a model of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae 26S rRNA gene secondary structure in which the D1 and D2 domains (delimited by NL1 and NL4) are enlarged (Inacio et al., 2003).

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.