

FEMS Microbiology Letters 221 (2003) 293-298



www.fems-microbiology.org

# Phylogenetic analysis of the family Thermaceae with an emphasis on signature position and secondary structure of 16S rRNA

Chaoyin Chen <sup>a</sup>, Shenglan Zhao  $\frac{b}{r}$ , Kunlong Ben <sup>c,\*</sup>

a Department of Biotechnology, Kunming University of Science and Technology (KUST), Kunming 650224, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Yunnan College of Chinese Medicine, Kunming 650200, PR China

<sup>c</sup> Kunming Institute of Zoology, Postgraduate School, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, PR China

Received 12 February 2003; accepted 11 March 2003

First published online 3 April 2003

#### Abstract

The sequences of the 16S rRNA genes from 38 strains of the family Thermaceae were compared by alignment analysis. The genusspecific and species-specific base substitutions or base deletions (signature positions) were found in three hypervariable regions (in the helices 6, 10 and 17). The differentiation of secondary structures of the high variable regions in the  $5'$  end (38-497) containing several signature positions further supported the concept. Based on the comparisons of the secondary structures in the segments of 16S rRNAs, a key to the species of the family Thermaceae was proposed.

 $© 2003$  Published by Elsevier Science B.V. on behalf of the Federation of European Microbiological Societies.

Keywords: Thermacea; 16S rRNA; Signature position; Secondary structure

#### 1. Introduction

Since Brock et al. isolated and named the extreme thermop[hile](#page-4-0) Thermus aquaticus from Yellowstone National Park [1], around the world various bacterial strains have been isolated from many **hydrothermal areas with water** temperatures higher than about  $55^{\circ}$ C and pH ranging from neutral to alkaline. On the basis of the phylogenetic data of 16S rRNA, the growth temperature, polar lipid pattern and the hydroxy fatty acid composition, Nobre et al. proposed the new [gen](#page-4-0)us Meiothermus reclassified from the genus *Thermus* [2], **Rainey and da Costa pro**[po](#page-4-0)sed 'Thermales ord. nov.' and 'Thermaceae fam. nov.' [3], Sako et al. prop[ose](#page-4-0)d 'Marinothermus hydrothermalis gen. nov., sp. nov.' [4] and Miroshnichenko et al. pro-

posed 'Oceanothermus profundus gen. nov., sp. nov.' [5]. Up to now, the order Thermales consists of only one family Thermaceae, which includes four genera: Thermus, Meiothermus, Marinothermus and Oceanothermus. The genus Meiothermus comprises five validly published spec[ies](#page-4-0): M. ruber, M. silvan[us](#page-4-0), M. chliarophilus, M. cerebereus [6], and  $M$ . taiwanensis [7]. Eight species of the [gen](#page-4-0)us Thermus have [been](#page-4-0) validly pub[lish](#page-4-0)ed: *T. aquaticus* [\[1\]](#page-4-0), *T. thermo*phil[us](#page-4-0)  $[8]$ , T. filifor[mis](#page-4-0)  $[9]$ , T. scotoductus  $[10]$ , T. brockia[nus](#page-4-0)  $[11]$ , T. oshimai  $[12]$ , T. igniterrae and T[. ant](#page-4-0)ranikianni [13]. [Fou](#page-4-0)r species (Meiothermus ro[saceu](#page-4-0)s [14], Thermus rehai [15], [Th](#page-4-0)ermus nonproteolyticus [16], Thermus kawarayuensis [\[17\]\) have not appe](www.bacterio.net)ared in the validly published list (http://www.bacterio.net). The genus Marinothermus included a species M. hydrothermalis and the genus Oceanothermus included a species *O. profundus*. The taxonomy of the Thermaceae remains to be refined. Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA incorporating consideration of secondary structure has been proven to be a powerful too[l for](#page-4-0) [the](#page-4-0) identification and classification of microorganisms  $[18-$ 20]. In this report, the sequences of the 16S rRNA genes from 38 strains in the family Thermaceae were compared and phylogenetically analyzed. Based on the comparison of secondary structures in hypervariable regions of 16S rRNAs, a key to the species of this family Thermaceae has been proposed.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 (871) 3303083; Fax: +86 (871) 3801129.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>294 C. Chen et al. / FEMS Microbiology Letters 221 (2003) 293-298



Fig. 1. Unrooted phylogenetic tree for sequences of the 38 published 16S rRNA genes in Thermaceae. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method. The scale bar represents one inferred nucleotide change per 100 nucleotides. GenBank accession numbers are in parentheses.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Sequence alignment

The 16S rDNA sequences of 38 strains in the family Thermaceae available in the public database GenBank were chosen for phylogenetic analysis. Their nucleotide sequence accession numbers were shown in Fig. 1.

The sequences were aligned to the available sequences in GenBank using the software BLASTN. The alignment was then manually refin[ed in](#page-4-0) variable regions or sign segments using CLUSTALX [21]. A phylogenetic dendrogram was generat[ed](#page-5-0) by the parsimony met[hod](#page-5-0) contained in the PAUP [22] and TreeView package [23].

## 2.2. Construction of secondary structure

To simplify the construction of secondary structure models, 5' ends of the 16S rRNA of all selected strains were folded by using the free-energy min[imiza](#page-5-0)tion algorithm with the RNAdraw v1.1 program [24]. The base position and helix num[bers](#page-5-0) of Escherichia coli were adopted from Maly et al. [25].

Table 1

The genus-specific base substitutes in the 16S rDNAs of the family Thermaceae



The underlined letters in bold indicate base substitutions that are genus-specific.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Sequence alignment

By comparison of 38 sequences of the family Thermaceae 16S rDNAs deposited in GenBa[nk, 43 g](#page-1-0)enus-specific base substitution events were found (Table 1). Three hypervariable regions were presented in 38 sequences of 16S rDNA in the family Thermaceae. The most drastic differences between the species occurred at positions 70–100,  $213-224$  and  $443-493$ . The obvious changes in t[he region](#page-3-0)s were the deletion events of  $7-15$  nucleotides (Table 2). Based on the result of the phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rDNA sequences, the 38 strains could be categorized into 18 species: T. aquaticus, T. thermophilus, T. filiformis, T. scotoductu, T. brockianus, T. oshimai, T. igniterrae, T. antranikianni, T. rehai, T. kawarayuensis, M. ruber, M. silvanus, M. cerebereus, M. r[osaceu](#page-1-0)s, M. taiwanensis, O. profundus, M. hydrothermalis (Fig. 1).

## 3.2. Secondary structure

The secondary structure of the  $5'$  extremities (38-497) containing three hypervariable regions (H6, H10 and H17) of 16S rRNAs from T. rehai was predicted using the *free*energy minimization algorithm with the RNAdraw program (Fig. 2). The structures of the other species were conducted and not shown in this paper. The significant structural differences in secondary structures of all ab[ove](#page-3-0) [specie](#page-3-0)s appeared in the helices 6, 9, 10, 12 and 17 (Table 3).

Based on the helices 6, 10 and 12 in the secondary structures of 16S rRNAs, a key to the species of the family Thermaceae was proposed as follows.



U.G A G д~ с<br>— g ្ត<br>ប – A GUCCGGGG C-G A C G-C **U**. G G-C C-G **C**- G U-A A G<br>G<br>G<br>G<br>G  $U^{GA}$ <sub>G</sub> ddd  $C_{\underline{A},\underline{A}}$ U G A GGGGUGGUU<sub>GAII G</sub>C<sup>GA</sup> C A G C U ŭ-Ä<br>C-Ga ccucca  $G^{\alpha}$  a  $G^{\alpha}$   $G^{\alpha}$  and  $G^{\alpha}$  a  $G^{\alpha}$  and  $G^{\alpha}$  and .c A ğ. ŭ C U **C** u.g<br>C.G<br>A-U ğ-ğ U-A A-U č – č ğ-ğ č-ğ C-G ğ-ğ A U A<sup>G</sup>AUCCC C G ğ. ў G.U G-C C -**G** C-G C-G G-C ີ<br>ປັ C-G G-C A Ū CCCACCAA a<br><sup>A</sup>ccugggG  $G^{U \rightarrow A}$  180 ğ – č C-G C-G **G**- C UCCCGC-GAGGUGGA<br>CCGCCU<sub>A</sub> COGCCU<sub>A</sub> GGACCCA<sup>A</sup> G-C G-C A-U A G <sup>U</sup>uuddda<br>.... çu G G C A C C U C U A G A A G A A G A **G**  $A G G$   $H G$ U A G AGUC CGGGGA CGGGCCCC  $G_{\text{A}}$   $G_{\text{C}}$   $G_{\text{C}}$  H 7 H 8  $H<sub>9</sub>$ H10 H11 H12 CGGAAGUCU C ğ-ğ .<br>G C U G G A C G C C GCCUUCAGG G  $\sigma^{\rm C}$ U C G G G **C** G C H13 H 14 A -U U a<sup>u Gu</sup> A A A C c <sup>G</sup> GGGU<br><sup>U</sup> T CCCG C č-ĕ  $G^{UAA}$ <sup>440</sup> $\rightarrow$ <sup>A</sup>AČ – G G G C G G Ŭ G G C-G U ğ-ğ G G G A **c**uccu C G C A  $220\frac{C}{C} - \frac{C}{C}$  150 160 240 A A A  $G$  $410$  $\tilde{\mathtt{U}}_{\mathtt{U}}$ G-C G U-A A  $\vec{G}$   $\vec{A}$ A G-C u<sup>gu</sup><br><sup>G</sup>y.g A-U A U GU<sub>A</sub><br>3., a<sup>G</sup> G G **A** A  $A^{G}$   $\sim$   $-A_{U}$ C G  $G^{\widetilde{A}}$ A H16 H17 H15 480 493 450 430 60 າ<br>00 70 250 260 270 290 300 331 350 A  $C_A$  $A^{\overline{A}}$ A G ğ-ğ G-C u – g<br>g – g C-G C-G ğ-č ğ–Č  $C - G$ G-C A-U  $A$ <sub>LU-G</sub>  $A$ <sup>A</sup> G G-C ğ-j C-G G-C A-U U-A U -G . A 50 40 110 120  $-130$ 140 150 170 230 360 <sup>380</sup> <sup>390</sup>  $\frac{1}{420}$ 400 440

A

Fig. 2. Secondary structure model of 16S rRNA of T. rehai 9902 at positions  $38 \sim 493$  estimated by the free-energy minimization algorithm. Position an[d he](#page-5-0)lix numbers refer to the equivalent region in the E. coli 16S rRNA [25]. Boldface bases refer to the transitional substitutions of bases between Thermus, Meiothermus, Marinothermus and Oceanothermus.

In the key, the number without brackets' indicates the number of basepairs in the helix. The number in the brackets () indicates the number of bases in the apex loop. The number in the brackets [] indicates the number of bases in the bubble. The number in the brackets {}

A

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>296 C. Chen et al. / FEMS Microbiology Letters 221 (2003) 293^298 仅供试用。

Table 2

The genus-specific deletions and species-specific fragments at helices 6, 10 and 17 of the 16S rDNAs in 18 species of four genera in the family Thermaceae

<b>Species</b>	70-100 $^{\circ}$ (in helix 6)	213-224(in helix 10)	443-493(in helix 17)				
Genus Thermus							
T. rehai	gccgtg----gggaat-ct----------cacggtc	gggtttagatcc- <sup>b</sup> CGCTTc	tggGGACGAaagccctgtgta-gggggaTGAcGGTACccaGg				
T. aquaticus	gccgtg----gggtat-ct----------cacggtc	gg--tttt-gcc-CGCTTc	ccgGGACGAaacccccgatga-ggggacTGAcGGTACcggGg				
T. thermophilus	gccgcg---gggttttact--------ccgtggtc	gg---ctttgcc-CGCTTc	ccgGGACGAaacccccgacga-ggggacTGAcGGTACcggGg				
T. igniterrae	gccgtg---gggtttctca------------cggtc	gg-tgacgagcc-CGCTTc	tggGGACGAaagccctgatga-gggggaTGAcGGTACccaGg				
T. antranikianii	ggta------ggtttatgc--------ctac--cc	gggtggatagcccCGCTTc	tggGGACGAaagccccggata-gggggaTGAcGGTACccaGg				
T. filiformis	gctgcg---gggttttact--------ccgtggtc	gg-tgaagagcc-CGCTTc	ccaGGACGAaatccctgatga-gggggaTGAcGGTACtggGg				
T. brokianus	gccatg---gggttttact---------ccgtggtc	ggcgagagt-c—CGCTTc	tggGGACGAaagccccgatga-gggggaTGAcGGTACccaGg				
T. scotoductus	ggca------ggtttatac--------ctgt--tc	gg-tggatagcc-CGCTTc	tggGGACGAaagccctgtgta-gggggaTGAcGGTACccaGg				
T. kawarayuensis	gccgtg----gggttttcc--------ca-tggtc	gg—ttttacc—CGCTTc	ccgGGACGAaatccctgtgga-gggggcTGAtGGTACcggGg				
T. oshimai	ggtg--------gttcgcc---------ac---cc	gc—caaaagc—CGCTTc	ctgGGACGAaaacccccacaa-ggggacTGAcGGTACcagGg				
Genus Meiothermus							
Me. rosaceus	gct-----------gttt-at-------gcag--cc	$c$ ----ttcgg--- $CGCTTt$	tcaGGACGAta-------------------aTGAcGGTACcgaGg				
Me. taiwanensis	gct--------- gttttat-------gcag--cc	$c$ ----ttcgg--- $CGCTTt$	tcgGGACGAta-----------------aTGAcGGTACcgaGg				
Me. ruber	gct-----------gttt-at-------gcag--cc	$c$ ----ttcgg--- $CGCTTt$	tcgGGACGAta------------------aTGAcGGTACcgaGg				
Me. cerbereus	act-----------gtt-cg--------gcag--tt	$c$ ----ttcgg--- $CGCTTt$	tcgGGACGAta------------------aTGAcGGTACcgaGg				
Me. chliarophilus	gcc---------ggtttcg--------gccgg-cc	$c$ ---- $ctcgg$ --- $CGCTTg$	$tcgGGACGAtg$ --------------------gTGAcGGTACcgaGg				
Me. silvanus	gcct--------gatt-cgg--------tcagg-ct	a----tttat---CGCTTt	aggGGACGAtg------------------aTGAcGGTACcgtGc				
Genus Marinothermus							
	Ma.hydrothermalis ggggtctttggctttttg-----ctggggggctcct	g---cgcgagc--CGCTTc	ccgGGACGAaagcccctgtttggggggaTGAcGGTACcggGg				
Genus Oceanothermus							
O. profundus	agettgeeeggagtetteggaeaetgggtaagett		gg-cttcggc--CGCTTc aggGGACGAaaaccccgc-aa-ggggaaTGAcGGTACcctGc				

<sup>a</sup>Numerals indicate the positions and helix numbers refer to the equivalent region in the E. coli 16S rRNA.

bThe italic and uppercase letters indicate bases that are identical in all species.

Table 3

The main differences in the species-specific region of helices 6, 9, 10, 12 and 17 in the secondary structures of 16S rRNAs in 18 species of Thermaceae

<b>Species</b>	H6	H <sub>9</sub>	H10	H12	H17		
Genus Thermus							
T. rehai	$9^a(4)^b$	11(4)	5(4)	$4[3]^c 4\{1\}^d 3(4)$	5[2]2[11]4(6)		
T. aquaticus	9(4)	11(4)	4(3)	$3[3]4\{1\}3(4)$	5[2]2[12]4(5)		
T. igniterrae	9(4)	11(4)	4(5)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[11]4(6)		
T. antrankianus	8(4)	11(4)	5(5)	$3[3]4\{1\}3(7)$	5[2]2[12]4(5)		
T. scotoductus	8(4)	11(4)	4(5)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[11]4(6)		
T. filiformis	11(3)	11(4)	4(5)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[7]6(6)		
T. thermophilus	11(3)	5[2]5(4)	4(3)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[12]4(5)		
T. brockianus	11(3)	11(4)	4(4)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[12]4(5)		
T. kawarayuensis	10(3)	11(4)	4(4)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[10]5(5)		
T. oshimai	6(4)	5[2]5(4)	3(4)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[13]4(4)		
Genus Meiothermus							
M. rosaceus	2[2]6(4)	11(4)	2(4)	$4\{3\}4(4)$	5[6]5[6]3(15)		
M. ruber	2[2]6(4)	11(4)	2(4)	$2{1}4(8)$	5[2]2(10)		
M. cerbereus	2[2]6(4)	5[2]5(4)	2(4)	7(9)	5[2]2(10)		
M. taiwanensis	2[2]6(5)	11(4)	2(4)	$2{1}4(8)$	5[2]2(10)		
M. silvanus	2[2]7(4)	8(6)	(6)	7(9)	5[2]2(10)		
M. chliarophilus	7(4)	3(5)	8(8)	4(4)	$5[2]2\{2\}2(4)$		
Genus Marinothermus							
M. hydrothermalis	2[2]12[2]3(4)	5[2]5(4)	3(4)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[12]4(4)		
Genus Oceanothermus							
O. profundus	13(5)	11(4)	3(4)	2[2]7(9)	5[2]2[11]5(5)		

aThe number indicates the number of basepairs in the helix.

<sup>b</sup>The number in the brackets () indicates the number of bases in the apex loop.

 $c$ The number in the brackets  $\parallel$  indicates the number of bases in the bubble.

<sup>d</sup>The number in the brackets {} indicates the number of bases in the bulge.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>indicates the number of bases in the bulge. By this key, 38 Thermaceae strains are classified into 18 species.

# 4. Discussion

In general, phylogenetic analysis using 16S rDNA is based on the comparison of similarity of the 16S rDNA molecules. When comparing 16S rRNA sequence data with those derived from public databases, the main problem one encounters is how to evaluate sequence differences and how to derive conclusions about the relatedness of organisms. This has to be accomplished by using an alignment based on secondary structure rather than simply aligning sequences based on sequence similarity. In major taxonomic groups, such as the archaeobacteria and the eubacteria, surprisingly few changes in the secondary structure occur. Therefore, secondary structure variation suggests considerable phylogenetic diversity [18]. This method was successfully used to identify and classify Streptomyces, Bacillus siralis and algae  $[18-20]$ . William et al. noted the species-specific regions of 16S rRNA from 18 strains of four Thermus species [11,12]. In this paper, the genus- and species-specific regions appeared at same positions of 16S rRNA from 38 strains of three genera in the family Thermaceae, and among closely related species it is common to observe the genus- and species-specific differences in secondary structure. These structures are typically different in terms of the number of pairs in a helix and the number of nucleotides in a loop. Based on the differences of the helices  $6$ ,  $10$  and  $12$  in the secondary structures of 16S rRNAs, a key to the species of the family Thermaceae was proposed. Based on the signatures and secondary structures of 16S rRNAs, 38 Thermaceae strains are classified into 18 species, more than 14 validly published species. It is in accordance wi[th the](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi) [data listed as taxonomy browser of NCBI \(htt](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi)p:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi).

#### References

- [1] Brock, T.D. and Freeze, H. (1969) Thermus aquaticus gen. n. and sp. n., a nonsporulating extreme thermophile. J. Bacteriol. 98, 289^ 297.
- [2] Nobre, M.F., Truper, H.G. and Da Costa, M.S. (1996) Transfer of Thermus ruber (Loginova et al. 1984), T. silvanus (Tenreiro et al. 1995), and T. chiliarophilus (Tenreiro et al. 1995) to Meiothermus gen. nov. as Meiothermus ruber comb. nov., M. silvanus comb. nov., and M. chilarophilus comb. nov., respectively, and emendation of the genus Thermus. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 46, 604^606.
- [3] Da Costa, M.S. and Rainey, F.A. (2001) Family I. Thermaceae fam. nov. In: Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2nd edn., Vol. 1 (the archaea and the deeply branching and prototrophic bacteria) (Boone, D.R. and Castenholz, R.W., Eds.), pp. 403-404. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4] Sako, Y., Nakagawa, S., Takai, K. and Horikoshi, K. (2003) Marinothermus hydrothermalis gen. nov. sp. nov., a strictly aerobic, ther-

mophilic bacterium from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent chimney. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53, 59^65.

- [5] Miroshnichenko, M.L., L'Haridon, S., Jeanthon, C., Antipov, A.N., Kostrikina, N.A., Tindall, B.J., Schumann, P., Spring, S., Stackebrandt, E. and Bonch-Osmolovskaya, E.A. (2002) Oceanothermus profundus gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic facultatively chemolithoheterotrophic bacterium from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (in press).
- [6] Chung, A.P., Rainey, F.M., Nobre, F., Burghardt, J. and da Costa, M.S. (1997) Meiothermus cerbereus sp. nov., a new slightly thermophilic species with high levels of 3-hydroxy fatty acids. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 47, 1225^1230.
- [7] Chen, M.Y., Lin, G.H., Lin, U.T. and Tsay, S.S. (2002) Meiothermus taiwanensis sp. nov., a novel filamentous, thermophilic species isolated in Taiwan. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 52, 1647-1654.
- [8] Oshima, T. and Imahori, K. (1974) Description of Thermus thermophilus. Yoshida and Oshima comb. nov., a non-sporulating thermophilic bacterium from a Japanese thermalspa. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 24, 102^112.
- [9] Hudson, J.A., Morgan, H.W. and Daniel, R.M. (1987) Thermus filiformis sp. nov., a filamentous caldoactive bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 37, 431^436.
- [10] Kristjansson, J.K., Hjoirleifsdottir, S., Marteinsson, V.T. and Alfredsson, G.A. (1994) Thermus scotoductus, sp. nov., a pigment-producing thermophilic bacterium from hot tap water in Iceland and including Thermus sp. X-1. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 17, 44-50.
- [11] Williams, R.A.D., Smith, K.E., Welch, S.G., Micallef, J. and Sharp, R.J. (1995) DNA relatedness of Thermus strains, description of Thermus brockianus sp. nov., and proposal to reestablish Thermus thermophilus (Oshima and Imahori). Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 45, 495-499.
- [12] Williams, R.A.D., Smith, K.E., Welch, S.G. and Micallef, J. (1996) Thermus oshimai sp. nov., isolated from hot springs in Portugal, Iceland and the Azores, and comment on the concept of a limited geographical distribution of Thermus species. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 46, 403^408.
- [13] Chung, A.P., Rainey, F.A., Valente, M., Nobre, M.F. and Costa, M.S. (2000) Thermus igniterrae sp. nov. and Thermus antranikianii sp. nov., two new species from Iceland. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 50, 209-217.
- [14] Chen, C., Lin, L., Peng, Q., Ben, K. and Zhao, S. (2002) Meiothermus rosaceus sp. nov. isolated from Tengchong Rehai in Yunnan, China. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 216, 263-268.
- [15] Lin, L., Chen, C., Peng, Q., Ben, K. and Zhou, Z. (2002) Thermus rehai sp. nov. isolated from Tengchong Rehai in Yunnan, China. J. Basic Microbiol. 5, 339-346.
- [16] Cai, M., Yang, S. and Liu, J. (1992) Thermus nonproteolyticus sp. nov., a novel species produced L-lactate dehydrogenase. Acta Microbiol. Sin. 32, 233-237.
- [17] Kurosawa, N. (2001) Thermus kawarayuensis gene for 16S rRNA. GenBank accession AB071811.
- [18] Pettersson, B., de Silva, S.K., Uhlen, M. and Priest, F.G. (2000) Bacillus siralis sp. nov., a novel species from silage with a higher order structural attribute in the 16S rRNA genes. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 50, 2181-2187.
- [19] Kataoka, M., Ueda, K., Kudo, T., Seki, T. and Yoshida, T. (1997) Application of the variable region in 16S rDNA to create an index for rapid species identification in the genus Streptomyces. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 151, 249-255.
- [20] Ben Ali, A., De Baere, R., Van der Auwera, G., De Wachter, R. and Van de Peer, Y. (2001) Phylogenetic relationships among algae based on complete large-subunit rRNA sequences. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51, 737-749.
- [21] Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. and Higgins, D.G. (1997) The CLUSTALX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 4876-4882.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[22] Page, R.D.M. (1996) TREEVIEW: An application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 12, 357^ 358.
- [23] Swofford, D. (2000) PAUP. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, version 4.0b4a. Computer program distributed by Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- [24] Matzura, O. and Wennborg, A. (1996) RNAdraw: an integrated program for RNA secondary structure calculation and analysis under 32-bit Microsoft Windows. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 12, 247^249.
- [25] Maly, P. and Brimacombe, R. (1983) Refined secondary structure models for the 16S ribosomal RNA of E. coli. Nucleic Acids Res. 11,  $4876 - 4882$